# Drug Enforcement Administration Office of Forensic Sciences

Latent Print Examination Manual

July 2018

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

# **Table of Contents**

| CHAPTER 1 – QUALITY ASSURANCE                                 | 3  |
|---|----|
| CHAPTER 2 – EVIDENCE ANALYSIS                                 | 17 |
| CHAPTER 3 – FIELD ASSISTANCE                                  | 44 |
| CHAPTER 4 – ORIENTATION AND TRAINING                          | 49 |
| APPENDIX 1A – LPEM DEFINITIONS                                | 54 |
| APPENDIX 1B – SYMBOLS, ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS             | 59 |
| APPENDIX 2A – STANDARD REPORTING LANGUAGE                     | 68 |
| APPENDIX 2B – ANALYSIS OF FRICTION RIDGE DETAIL               | 79 |
| APPENDIX 2C – DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY AFIS GUIDELINES | 82 |
| APPENDIX 2D – DEFINITION OF LATENT PRINT CONCLUSIONS          | 83 |
| END OF DOCUMENT   | 84 |
|   |    |
|   |    |

| Approval:                       |                  |      |
|---------------------------------|------------------|------|
| Deputy Assistant Administrator: | REDACTED         | Date |
|                                 | Nelson A. Santos |      |

Revision: 2 Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

# **CHAPTER 1 – QUALITY ASSURANCE**

| 1.0 | Qua   | lity Assurance  | 5   |
|-----|-------|---|-----|
| 1.  | 1 5   | Scope   | 5   |
| 1.3 | 2 D   | Definitions   | 5   |
| 2.0 | Pro   | oficiency Testing Program   | 6   |
| 2.  | 1 L   | _atent Print Comparison Proficiency Test                                  | 6   |
|     | 2.1.1 | Responsibilities  | 6   |
|     | 2.1.2 | Procedures  | 6   |
| 2.: | 2 L   | _atent Print Processing Proficiency Test                                  | 7   |
|     | 2.2.1 | Responsibilities  | 7   |
|     | 2.2.2 | Procedures  | 7   |
| 3.0 | Pe    | er Review of Fingerprint Specialist Examinations                          | 9   |
| 3.  | 1 (   | General Requirements  | 9   |
| 3.2 | 2 F   | Responsibilities  | 9   |
| 3.  | 3 (   | Conducting Peer Reviews   | 9   |
|     | 3.3.1 | Processing Reviews  | 9   |
|     | 3.3.2 | Analysis/Comparison Reviews   | .10 |
|     | 3.3.3 | Automated Fingerprint Identification System Reviews                       | .10 |
| 4.0 | Val   | lidating Latent Print Development Techniques and Procedures               | .11 |
| 4.  | 1 F   | Responsibilities  | .11 |
| 4.  | 2 \   | /alidation of Techniques or Procedures for Developing Latent Print Detail | .11 |
|     | 4.2.1 | Standard Samples  | .11 |
|     | 4.2.2 | Reproducibility   | .11 |
|     | 4.2.3 | Ruggedness  | .11 |
|     | 4.2.4 | Environmental Studies   | .11 |
|     | 4.2.5 | Accuracy  | .11 |
| 5.0 | Fin   | gerprint Equipment  | .13 |
| 5.  | 1 E   | Equipment   | .13 |
| 5.2 | 2 [   | Documentation Requirements  | .13 |
| 6.0 | Re    | agent Reliability   | .15 |

## Latent Print Examination Manual

Revision: 2

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

| 6.1 | Dog | cumenting Reagents              | 15 |
|-----|-----|---------------------------------|----|
| 6.1 | .1  | Stock Reagents                  | 15 |
|     |     | Secondary Container             |    |
|     |     | Commercial (Purchased) Reagents |    |
| 6.2 | Lab | eling Containers                | 16 |
| 6.3 | Dis | posing Reagents                 | 16 |

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

## 1.0 Quality Assurance

## 1.1 Scope

The quality assurance program for the fingerprint program includes:

- Testing proficiency
- Peer review
- Validating techniques and procedures
- Maintaining equipment

#### 1.2 Definitions

- Terminology used in the Latent Print Examination Manual (LPEM) is defined in Appendix 1A.
- Use of Symbols, Acronyms, and Abbreviations are defined in Appendix 1B.
- Policy statements within the LPEM are mandatory requirements, except as noted below:
  - o The word 'should' within a statement signifies a best-practice, or recommendation.
  - The word 'may' in a statement provides permission that does not require additional authorization.
  - o The phrase 'may not' and the word 'cannot' are prohibitive language intended to clarify that an action is impermissible.
  - o Otherwise, all language is mandatory and the word "must" is to be presumed.

NOTE: Unless expressly prohibited elsewhere in the LPEM, the Laboratory Director (LD) may request exemptions to policies and procedures from SF.

5

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

## 2.0 Proficiency Testing Program

The Proficiency Testing Program (PTP) is comprised of two components:

- Latent print comparison
- Latent print processing

## 2.1 Latent Print Comparison Proficiency Test

## 2.1.1 Responsibilities

The Laboratory Director (LD) or designee:

- Ensures each Fingerprint Specialist (FS) completes at least one latent print comparison proficiency test each fiscal year.
- Orders one Latent Print Examination Digital Versatile Disc (DVD) test for each FS.
  - NOTE 1: One comparison proficiency test is assigned to satisfy the external proficiency test program (EPTP) requirement per laboratory.
  - NOTE 2: Remaining comparison proficiency tests are assigned to all FSs for examination and internal review.

#### 2.1.2 Procedures

The FS:

- Receives the assigned comparison proficiency test from the evidence vault.
- Completes the proficiency test in the Laboratory Information Management System (LIMS) and includes the completed external provider form as an attachment.
- Returns the comparison proficiency test to the evidence vault.

The Supervisory Fingerprint Specialists (SFS):

- Determines which FS will complete the external proficiency test and further coordinates with the Laboratory Quality Assurance Manager (LQAM) or designee.
- Conducts all verifications, technical, and administrative reviews on all proficiency tests.
- Reviews the external provider data sheets and DEA documentation associated with the proficiency test for any discrepancies.
- Brings any discrepancies to the attention of the LQAM or designee.
- Discusses any difference of opinion with the FS and if an agreement cannot be reached, refers to Conflict Resolution. (See 2-7.4)

Latent Print Examination Manual Chapter 1 – Quality Assurance

Revision: 2

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

Submits all completed external provider data sheets to the LQAM or designee.

The LQAM or designee:

- Returns the selected external provider data sheets within the established time limits to satisfy external PTP requirements.
- Authorizes the test provider to release the results to the accrediting body.
- Forwards a complete report of examination to the Office of Forensic Sciences (SF) once results are returned to the test provider.
- Attaches the external provider data sheets into LIMS Case Management, Case Attachments -Fingerprint Attachments.
- Maintains all completed comparison proficiency data sheets and documentation at the laboratory for five years.

The SF Quality Assurance Manager (SFQAM) or designee:

- Authorizes the destruction of external and internal comparison proficiency tests through the quarterly PTP report.
- Monitors the results of analysis and notifies the LQAMs of potential inconsistencies. (See Laboratory Operations Manual (LOM 71).

## 2.2 Latent Print Processing Proficiency Test

## 2.2.1 Responsibilities

The LD:

Ensures each FS completes at least one processing proficiency test during each accreditation cycle.

The SF Fingerprint Program Manager (PM):

- Prepares the processing proficiency tests and associated DEA-12 submission form.
- Distributes processing proficiency tests to the laboratories for entry into LIMS. (See LOM 73)

#### 2.2.2 Procedures

The FS:

- Receives the assigned processing proficiency test from the evidence vault and completes the LIMS tests listed below:
  - Description of Evidence

7

Latent Print Examination Manual Chapter 1 – Quality Assurance

Revision: 2

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

- Fingerprint Examination
- Evidence Disposition
- Selects "Examiner Report Not Needed" in LIMS Examiner Report.
- Completes the proficiency test and returns the evidence container to the evidence vault.

#### The PM or designee:

- Observes and documents completion of the test on a processing review form.
- Attaches the completed DEA-466 to the processing review form.
- Submits all completed proficiency test paperwork to the laboratory LQAM.

## The LQAM or designee:

Maintains the completed proficiency test documentation at the laboratory for five years.

## The SFQAM or designee:

Authorizes the destruction of processing proficiency tests through the quarterly PTP report.

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

## 3.0 Peer Review of Fingerprint Specialist Examinations

## 3.1 General Requirements

- A minimum of two peer reviews for each FS and SFS per fiscal year will be conducted from each of the following categories:
  - o Processing
  - o Analysis/Comparison
  - Automated Fingerprint Identification System (AFIS)

NOTE 1: SFSs not conducting routine latent print examinations will not be held to minimum requirements of two peer reviews from each of the categories...

NOTE 2: Peer reviews will be conducted on all SFS exhibits if the minimum requirements cannot be met in the fiscal year.

## 3.2 Responsibilities

#### The SFS:

- Assigns peer reviews to each FS.
  - Processing Peer Reviews are to be assigned at the time the exhibit is assigned to the FS for processing.
  - o Comparison and AFIS Peer Reviews are to be assigned <u>after</u> the technical review and <u>before</u> the administrative review is conducted on the DEA-111.
- Conducts peer review examinations (processing, comparison, and AFIS) when there are no FSs available.

#### The reviewing FS:

- Conducts peer reviews in accordance with 1-3.3.
- Refers to Conflict Resolution if difference of opinion cannot be resolved. (See 2-7.4)
- Forwards the completed Latent Print Peer Review Form to the SFS, who then forwards it to the Quality Assurance Specialist (QAS).

9

#### The QAS:

Maintains the Latent Print Peer Review Form files for five years.

## 3.3 Conducting Peer Reviews

## 3.3.1 Processing Reviews

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

## The reviewing FS:

- Verifies proper processing techniques were applied.
- Examines the evidence after each processing step.
- Examines the test print after each step to confirm reagent and equipment are working properly.
- Reviews the Latent Print Details Report (LPDR).
- Verifies all potential identifiable latent prints developed on the evidence were preserved.
- Completes the Latent Print Peer Review Form Processing Review (see Office of Forensic Sciences Document Control Center (SFDCC))

## 3.3.2 Analysis/Comparison Reviews

The reviewing FS:

- Re-evaluates suitability for all latent prints that were preserved.
- Evaluates and verifies all comparisons (source identifications, source exclusions, and inconclusive).
- Reviews the DEA-466b for documentation of impression type, comparison result(s), identification(s), verification(s), identifier initials, verifier name, and dates.

10

Completes the Latent Print Peer Review Form – Analysis/Comparison Review. (See SFDCC)

#### 3.3.3 Automated Fingerprint Identification System Reviews

The reviewing FS:

- Reviews all AFIS search documentation:
- Conducts a re-comparison of all images from the candidate list.
- Reviews the DEA-466b for documentation of AFIS searches and results.
- Reviews AFIS generated documentation. (See 2-7.7.1)
- Completes the Latent Print Peer Review Form AFIS Reviews. (See SFDCC)

5 . 5 . .

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

## 4.0 Validating Latent Print Development Techniques and Procedures

## 4.1 Responsibilities

The LD or designee:

- Ensures that latent print development techniques or procedures are validated before use in casework.
- Maintains validation documentation in the format posted on the SFDCC.
- Forwards a copy of the validation documentation to the Office of Forensic Sciences (SF) for posting on the SFDCC.

#### 4.2 Validation of Techniques or Procedures for Developing Latent Print Detail

The FS:

- Performs a thorough review of publications, academic materials, safety procedures and protocols, etc., involving the technique or procedure.
- Uses the Friction Ridge Development Technique Validation Final Report form to document the validation. (See SFDCC)

#### 4.2.1 Standard Samples

The FS:

 Selects samples representative of the type of specimens routinely analyzed by the technique or procedure.

#### 4.2.2 Reproducibility

Performs the technique or procedure on each test sample to demonstrate consistent results.

# 4.2.3 Ruggedness

Another FS must be able to reproduce the test results using the same technique or procedure.

#### 4.2.4 Environmental Studies

The FS:

• Evaluates the effect of environmental conditions on a technique or procedure by exposing known samples to a variety of conditions prior to development.

## 4.2.5 Accuracy

The FS:

Latent Print Examination Manual Chapter 1 – Quality Assurance

Revision: 2

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

• Determines that the technique or procedure develops latent prints with sufficient detail to allow another qualified FS to evaluate the results and conduct a comparison.

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

# 5.0 Fingerprint Equipment

## 5.1 Equipment

- FSs examine the following fingerprint equipment upon receipt/installation in the laboratory to determine if it is functioning according to manufacturer's specifications:
  - o Laser
  - o Alternate Light Source
  - Reflective Ultraviolet Imaging System (RUVIS)
  - o Environmental Chamber
  - Cyanoacrylate Chamber
  - o Digital Imaging System and Workstation
  - o Photography Print Processor
  - o AFIS Workstations
  - Full Spectrum Imaging System (FSIS)

## 5.2 Documentation Requirements

The LD or designee:

- Specifies the format of the equipment logbook.
- Archives the equipment logbook in the laboratory for 75 years.

## The FS:

- Keeps an equipment logbook for each piece of equipment listed in 1-5.1.
- Includes in the logbook, at a minimum, the following:
  - The identity of the item of equipment and its software
  - The manufacturer's name, type identification, and serial number or other unique identification
  - The current location
  - o The manufacturer's instructions, if available, or reference to their location
  - Dates, results, and copies of reports and certifications of all adjustments, acceptance criteria, and the due date of next service.

Latent Print Examination Manual Chapter 1 – Quality Assurance

Revision: 2

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

- o The maintenance plan and maintenance carried out to date
- o Any damage, malfunction, modification, or repair of the equipment
- Verifies operation of environmental (humidity and temperature) chambers, fuming chambers, and forensic light sources by performing a test print and recording the results in LIMS.
- Removes equipment from service when not operational and documents it in the equipment logbook.
- Places a "Not in Service" sign on the affected equipment to notify potential users of its status.
- Documents all steps to resolve the equipment problems in the logbook.
- Documents the date when equipment is repaired and placed back into service.

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

# 6.0 Reagent Reliability

## 6.1 Documenting Reagents

#### 6.1.1 Stock Reagents

#### The FS:

- Records the following information on the Reagent Reliability Stock/Working Solution form for each stock (primary) reagent prepared. (See SFDCC)
  - Reagent name
  - Laboratory traceable number (reagent-sequence number-date prepared including month, day, and year) (e.g., R6G-1-9/1/2015)
  - Preparer initials
  - Amount prepared
  - Test print result (positive/negative)
  - Expiration date, if applicable
  - Date stock depleted
  - o FS Initials

## 6.1.2 Secondary Container

## The FS:

- Records the following information on the Reagent Reliability Stock/Working Solution Form for each secondary container prepared from a verified stock reagent (See SFDCC):
  - o Reagent name
  - Laboratory traceable number (See 6.1.1)
  - Prepared date

## 6.1.3 Commercial (Purchased) Reagents

#### The FS:

- Records the following information on the Reagent Reliability Commercial Reagents Form once the manufacturer's seals are broken on a commercial reagent (See SFDCC):
  - Reagent name

15

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

- Laboratory traceable number (See 6.1.1)
- o Date opened
- o FS initials upon opening
- o Manufacturer's reported reagent volume
- Test print result (positive/negative)
- Expiration date (if manufacturer provided)
- Date depleted
- o Final disposition
- o FS initials upon disposal

## 6.2 Labeling Containers

FSs label reagent containers as follows:

|  | Reagent<br>Name | FS Initials | Prepared<br>Date | Lab<br>Traceable<br>Number | Date Opened |
|--|-----------------|-------------|------------------|----------------------------|-------------|
| Stock<br>Container                     | Х               | Х           | Х                | X                          |             |
| Secondary<br>Containers                | Х               |             | Х                | Х                          |             |
| Commercially<br>Prepared<br>Containers | Х               | Х           |                  | Х                          | Х           |

## 6.3 Disposing Reagents

The FS:

- Disposes a reagent as hazardous waste when it meets the following criteria (See LOM 78):
  - o Does not produce expected results during verification
  - Drastically changes in appearance or composition
  - o Is no longer needed
- Maintains reagents that have reached their expiration date if able to demonstrate that the reagent continues to work as expected.

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

# **CHAPTER 2 – EVIDENCE ANALYSIS**

| 1.0 | Evide  | ence Analysis   | 19 |
|-----|--------|---|----|
| 1.1 | Sc     | ope   | 19 |
| 2.0 | Evide  | ence Handling   | 20 |
| 2.1 | De     | etermining Gross Weight of Evidence                             | 20 |
| 2.2 | Op     | pening and Resealing Evidence                                   | 20 |
| 2.3 | lm     | properly Sealed Evidence  | 21 |
| 2.4 | De     | scribing the Evidence   | 22 |
| 2.5 | Cr     | eating Evidence Containers                                      | 22 |
| 2   | .5.1   | Fingerprint Unit - Additional FIN Unit                          | 22 |
| 2   | .5.2   | Repackaging Evidence in New Container                           | 23 |
| 2.6 | Re     | eturning Completed Evidence to the Vault                        | 23 |
| 2.7 | As     | sessing Evidence Returned from Court                            | 23 |
| 2   | .7.1   | Non-Intact Exterior Evidence Seals                              | 23 |
| 3.0 | Cond   | ducting Latent Print Examinations                               | 25 |
| 3.1 | Se     | lecting Techniques and Procedures Used to Develop Latent Prints | 25 |
| 3.2 | Ge     | eneral Requirements   | 25 |
| 3.3 | Do     | cumenting Preserved Latent Prints                               | 25 |
| 3.4 | Un     | recoverable Ridge Detail  | 26 |
| 3.5 | La     | boratory LIMS File Documentation                                | 26 |
| 3   | .5.1   | Latent Print Details Report                                     | 26 |
| 3   | .5.2   | Latent Print Matrix Report                                      | 27 |
| 3   | .5.3   | Latent Print Examination Report                                 | 27 |
| 3   | .5.4   | LIMS Documentation – Other Exhibit Documentation                | 28 |
| 3   | .5.5   | Latent Print Case Activity & Communication Documentation        | 29 |
| 3   | .5.6   | Latent Print Statistics Form                                    | 29 |
| 4.0 | Fricti | on Ridge Examination Methodology                                | 31 |
| 4.1 | Fri    | ction Ridge Examinations  | 31 |
| 4   | .1.1   | Analysis of Friction Ridge Detail                               | 31 |

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

| 4   | .1.2  | Comparison of Friction Ridge Detail  | 32 |
|-----|-------|--|----|
| 4   | .1.3  | Evaluation of Friction Ridge Detail  | 32 |
| 4   | .1.4  | Verification   | 33 |
| 5.0 | Digi  | tal Imaging  | 34 |
| 5.1 | D     | igital Image Capture   | 34 |
| 5.2 | D     | igital Imaging Processing  | 34 |
| 5.3 | Α     | rchiving and Backing Up Images   | 34 |
| 5   | 5.3.1 | System Back-up   | 34 |
| 6.0 | Con   | ducting Automated Fingerprint Identification System Searches               | 36 |
| 6.1 | R     | equirements  | 36 |
| 6.2 | U     | niversal Latent Workstation  | 36 |
| 6.3 | R     | egional Automated Fingerprint Identification System                        | 36 |
| 6.4 | D     | epartment of Homeland Security Automated Fingerprint Identification System | 36 |
| 6.5 | Α     | dditional Reporting and Documentation Requirements                         | 37 |
| 7.0 | Con   | ducting Reviews  | 38 |
| 7.1 | R     | equirements  | 38 |
| 7.2 | Т     | echnical Reviews   | 38 |
| 7.3 | Α     | dministrative Reviews  | 38 |
| 7.4 | С     | onflict Resolution   | 39 |
| 7   | '.4.1 | Discussion between Examiner and Reviewer                                   | 39 |
| 7   | .4.2  | Independent Review   | 40 |
| 7   | .4.3  | Consensus Panel  | 40 |
| 7   | .4.4  | Reporting Conclusion(s)  | 42 |
| 8.0 | Prel  | iminary Results  | 43 |

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

# 1.0 Evidence Analysis

## 1.1 Scope

- This chapter contains the policy and procedures for developing friction ridge detail on evidence, friction ridge examination, digital imaging, and AFIS searches.
- Laboratory management must approve all deviations that do not meet minimum requirements.
- Laboratory management, SA, or TFO must authorize all deferred examinations.
- Deviations and deferred examinations require documentation in LIMS Case Management, Case Attachments - Fingerprint Attachments.

#### The FS:

- Uses standard reporting language (SRL) in Examination Results and Conclusion section of the DEA-111 as shown in Appendix 2A.
- Examines friction ridge detail as described in Appendix 2B.
- Follows Department of Homeland Security (DHS) Guidelines on AFIS submissions in Appendix 2C for AFIS requests to DHS.
- Follows Department of Justice (DOJ) Approved Uniform Language for Testimony and Reports (ULTR).

19

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

## 2.0 Evidence Handling

## 2.1 Determining Gross Weight of Evidence

#### The FS:

- Determines the gross weight of drug evidence received from the vault before separation by the Forensic Chemist. (See 2-2.2)
  - Record gross weight in the Gross Weight Latent Print (LP) Test.
  - Compare the obtained gross weight with the submitted gross weight. If the gross weight differs from the submitted weight by more than two grams or 0.2% (whichever is greater), or if there is no gross weight recorded either on the evidence package or Report of Drug Property Collected, Purchase, or Seized (DEA-7), another LIMS user witnesses the FS's weight, prior to breaking the seal.
  - o Verify and document weight discrepancy with a witness and refer the matter to the SFS.
- Does not determine the gross weight of evidence received from the vault after separation by the Forensic Chemist.
- Determining gross weight is not a requirement for bench transfers.
- Does not determine the gross weight for non-drug evidence.

## 2.2 Opening and Resealing Evidence

#### The FS:

- Opens the plastic sealed evidence envelopes (PSEE) by cutting along the edge opposite the sealing Special Agent's (SA's), Task Force Officer's (TFO's), Diversion Investigator's (DI's), or Forensic Chemist's (FC) evidence seal to create a separate strip.
  - o Annotate FS initials, date opened, and unique identifier (LIMS case number) on this strip.
  - Place the strip cut from the PSEE inside the original PSEE.
- Annotates the affixed evidence label with the date opened and any other applicable information.
- Records the date opened in the LIMS Description of Evidence LP Test and completes the "Opened By" and "Date Opened" fields on the affixed evidence label. Marks secondary container(s) inside original packaging containing specimens for processing with FS initials, date opened, and unique identifier.
- Adds additional container(s) in LIMS Description of Packaging LP Test.
- Properly marks specimens after examination with the FS's initials, date, and a unique identifier.

Latent Print Examination Manual Chapter 2 – Evidence Analysis

Revision: 2

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

- Alternately, places multiple specimens into a secondary container(s) marked with the FS's initials, date, and a unique identifier.
- Places latent print evidence (lifts, non-rewriteable optical media, cut outs, photographs that are developed or preserved) in a new secondary container(s) marked with the FS's initials, date, and a unique identifier.
  - Adds the additional container(s) in LIMS Evidence Disposition LP Test Remarks when added by the FS. (See 2-2.5)
- Places the specimens and/or secondary container(s) into the original PSEE.
- Places an evidence seal bearing the FS's signature, the date of sealing, the Investigating Agency
  (IA) Case Number, the IA Exhibit Number, and unique identifier on the outside of the PSEE, at the
  bottom, center edge, parallel with the opening.
- Heat-seals the open end of the PSEE, and inspects the integrity of the seal or reseals the original package(s) with fiber reinforced tape.

NOTE: Completely encircles the box or package(s) with the fiber-reinforced tape in two opposing directions that cross each other and places an evidence seal bearing the FS's signature, the date of sealing, IA Case Number, IA Exhibit Number, and unique identifier at the junction where the tape ends meet.

In addition to covering the fiber-tape junction, ensure that part of the evidence seal adheres to the box or package.

- Records the date sealed in LIMS Evidence Disposition LP Test, and completes the "Resealed By" and "Date Resealed" fields on the affixed evidence label.
- Records a description of the evidence disposition in the LIMS Evidence Disposition LP Test finding.
- Records the weight after completion of the evidence processing if the exhibit contains a suspected controlled substance.
  - Weigh the properly resealed evidence container to determine the gross weight.
  - Record the weight on the affixed evidence label and in the LIMS Gross Weight after Analysis
     LP Test.

## 2.3 Improperly Sealed Evidence

The FS:

 Notifies a manager when evidence is not packaged in accordance with REDACTED (i.e., the SA's seals are not intact).

The SFS or designee:

21

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

- Witnesses the condition of the evidence by entering their username and password in the Description of Evidence - LP Test.
- Decides if the evidence will be returned to the vault or be examined.

## 2.4 Describing the Evidence

#### The FS:

- Compares the physical evidence with the description from the DEA-7 or Non-Drug Evidence
  Laboratory Analysis Report (7b) and if it differs significantly from the description reported, selects
  "No" in the Consistent with Paperwork finding in the Description of Evidence LP Test. Completes the
  Paperwork Inconsistency Description LP Test.
  - o A FS or another LIMS user witnesses the FS description.
  - In the Description of Evidence LP Test, the witness enters their username and password to document the witnessing of the description discrepancy.
- Contacts the SA, TFO, or DI in an attempt to resolve any significant differences.
  - EXCEPTION: The FS is not required to report significant differences from the DEA-7 for evidence previously separated by the FC.
- Records communication in LIMS in the Case Communications Log, or DEA-466c, or email attachment.
- Attaches DEA-466c forms or email attachments into LIMS Case Management, Case Attachments -Fingerprint Attachments.

## 2.5 Creating Evidence Containers

#### 2.5.1 Fingerprint Unit - Additional FIN Unit

The FS:

- Creates a FIN unit when evidence is received from the FC (bench transfer) or when drug evidence is received from the vault before analysis by the FC.
  - When no friction ridge detail was developed or observed, insert a piece of paper with proper SRL or insert an overall photograph of the evidence into a new PSEE. (See Appendix 2A)
  - When friction ridge detail was developed or observed, insert digital workplace printouts, DVD, DVD-R, Compact Disc/Record (CD-R), cutouts, latent lift cards, or fingerprint evidence (separated) in a new PSEE.
- Properly seals the new PSEE and places an evidence seal on the package bearing the FS's signature, the date of sealing, the IA Case Number, the IA Exhibit Number, and the LIMS Case Number.

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

- Selects Add through Organize My Work (LIMS), create Lab Exhibit, and places in new container.
- Uses the Container Code "Fingerprint."
- Prints a FIN container label(s) for the newly created container(s) and affixes the container label(s) to the new container(s).

## 2.5.2 Repackaging Evidence in New Container

The FS:

- Creates a new container(s) when the original evidence cannot be repackaged into the original container(s) and places the original container within the new container.
- Selects the correct Container Type, Container Code, and the number of new containers.
- Properly seals the evidence packaging, and places an evidence seal on the package bearing the FS's signature, the date of sealing, the IA Case Number, the IA Exhibit Number, and the LIMS Case Number.
- Selects Add through Organize My Work (LIMS), Evidence Containers.
- Uses the Container Code "Fingerprint."
- Prints a FIN container label(s) for the newly created container(s) and affixes the label to the new container(s).

#### 2.6 Returning Completed Evidence to the Vault

The FS Returns the completed evidence to the vault in accordance with LOM 73.

## 2.7 Assessing Evidence Returned from Court

#### 2.7.1 Non-Intact Exterior Evidence Seals

The SFS or designee:

- Reopens the exhibit in LIMS.
- Adds the DEA-7 and original DEA-111 into LIMS Case Management, Case Attachments Fingerprint Attachments, if original analysis was not done using LIMS.
- Reroutes the exhibit to the fingerprint unit.
- Sends the exhibit for examination.
- Assigns the exhibit to a FS.

The FS:

23

Latent Print Examination Manual Chapter 2 – Evidence Analysis

Revision: 2

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

- Verifies the condition of the evidence seals (interior and exterior).
- Compares the contents of the exhibit against the originally described evidence.

NOTE: The evidence may be reopened in the presence of a witness in order to inspect the contents.

- Notifies laboratory management if contents of exhibit do not match the originally described evidence.
- Records the observations on the DEA-466c or email.
- Attaches the DEA-466c or email into LIMS Case Management, Case Attachments Fingerprint Attachments.
- Reseals the evidence.
- Completes Evidence Disposition LP Test.

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

## 3.0 Conducting Latent Print Examinations

## 3.1 Selecting Techniques and Procedures Used to Develop Latent Prints

#### The FS:

- Uses validated techniques and procedures selected to minimize the destruction of, and increase the
  possible enhancement of latent prints.
- Visually examines all specimens for latent prints, using ample lighting, before subjecting them to any fingerprint development technique.

#### 3.2 General Requirements

#### The FS:

- Conducts an examination on an exhibit and is responsible for correctly processing, preserving, describing, and reporting all identifiable latent prints.
- Documents a test print each time a reagent is used in casework and includes the results of the test print in LIMS Exhibit Analysis Step Entries.
- Uses LIMS tests to record all observations, examinations, and results at the time they are made.
- Documents results so that they are identifiable to a specific task and in a manner that permits adequate reconstruction of the analysis or examination performed.
- Completes comparisons of all unidentified latent prints, unless a deferred comparison has been documented. (See Appendix 2A)

## 3.3 Documenting Preserved Latent Prints

## The FS:

- Shows the location of the preserved latent prints on the specimen by placing an adhesive scale or depiction label next to the developed or observed latent print.
- Adds the laboratory depiction identifier to the adhesive scale or depiction label.
- Marks the depiction identifier (e.g., 1-1-1) assigned to a preserved latent print with the following:
  - o Exhibit number
  - Specimen designation number
  - Latent print number
- Uses a non-adhesive scale next to the developed or observed ridge detail in circumstances where there is insufficient space to place an adhesive scale next to the latent print.

Latent Print Examination Manual Chapter 2 – Evidence Analysis

Revision: 2

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

- Writes (at a minimum) the latent print number near the developed print or observed ridge detail.
- Places a placard containing the LIMS Number, FSs initials, and date in the overall photograph documenting the location of the preserved latent print(s).

NOTE: A reviewer must be able to read the laboratory depiction identifier for each preserved latent print developed or observed in the overall photograph(s).

 Attaches overall photographs in LIMS Case Management, Case Attachments - Fingerprint Attachments.

#### 3.4 Unrecoverable Ridge Detail

- When the latent print evidence on the specimen is:
  - Capable of being obliterated during subsequent processing;
  - Developed with a chemical known to fade over time; or
  - o Susceptible to loss or destruction, then:

#### The FS:

- Places non-rewriteable media or photographs of the latent print detail into the corresponding FIN or Non Drug Evidence (NDE) container.
  - o Creates a sub-exhibit in LIMS and adds a No Analysis Performed Test.
  - o Describes "Friction Ridge Unrecoverable" in Lab Exhibit Description.
  - Annotates in the remarks section of No Analysis Performed (at a minimum) "CD, DVD, and/or photographs only."
  - Annotates in the remarks section of Evidence Disposition "CD, DVD, photographs, and/or new secondary container was added to container."
  - o Marks CD, DVD, and/or photographs with FS initials, date, and unique identifier.
  - Places CD, DVD, and/or photographs into a new secondary container.
  - Places secondary container inside original container.

#### 3.5 Laboratory LIMS File Documentation

## 3.5.1 Latent Print Details Report

#### The FS:

 Generates a LPDR from the information that has been entered into My Work Assignments and Pending Results Entry – LP Tests.

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

• Begins the description of evidence with the number and detailed description of the physical evidence (e.g., one white envelope, four clear plastic zip lock type bags).

NOTE 1: Exhibits containing numerous quantities that are impractical to count can be generalized (e.g., multiple layers of plastic).

NOTE 2: Abbreviations are not used in the Description of Evidence test.

Annotates all blank spaces with Not Applicable (N/A)

EXCEPTIONS: Remarks, Comments, and Notes sections.

## 3.5.2 Latent Print Matrix Report

#### The FS:

- Generates a DEA-466b from the information that has been entered into LIMS Results Entry My Assignments – LP Tests.
- Documents all latent prints preserved.
- Documents the following on the Latent Print Matrix Report:
  - o Depiction ID
  - o Impression Type
  - o Processing Technique
  - Subject Comparison
  - Identification
  - Verification
  - o AFIS
- Annotates all blank spaces with "N/A."

EXCEPTIONS: Remarks, Comments, and Notes sections.

## 3.5.3 Latent Print Examination Report

#### The FS:

- Generates a DEA-111 from the information that was entered in LIMS.
- Does not use abbreviations in the Examination, Results, and Conclusions sections of the DEA-111.

27

Latent Print Examination Manual Chapter 2 – Evidence Analysis

Revision: 2

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

EXCEPTION: When the submitted subject name on the DEA-7 or Non-Drug Evidence Laboratory Analysis Report (DEA-7b) is significantly different from the known print card used in a comparison, the abbreviation "aka" (also known as) can be used.

- Does not list the name (e.g., right index finger) or the number (e.g., #2) of the finger in the DEA-111 when reporting an identification. Instead, delineates this information on DEA-466b.
- Reports only the name as it appears on the known print card, and federal Universal Control Number (UCN), or local numbering system when reporting an identification. Does not include titles, other numbers, or other descriptive information.
- Uses SRL statements on the DEA-111. (See Appendix 2A)
- Arranges SRL statements on the DEA-111 in the following sequence:
  - o Processing Examination
  - Comparison Examination
  - AFIS Examination
  - DOJ ULTR Reference Statement

NOTE: Changes to the SRL regarding subject and verb agreement (i.e., singular to plural) are not deviations. (See Appendix 2A)

Obtains approval from SFS for any deviations of SRL and attaches into LIMS Case Management,
 Case Attachment – Fingerprint Attachments.

## 3.5.4 LIMS Documentation – Other Exhibit Documentation

The FS includes the following in the LIMS Case File, if available:

- Hand-written notes that relate to the examination.
- Digital Imaging System photographs with documentation that relate to the analysis of the latent print detail.
- Digital Workplace Printouts
- Overall Photographs (JPG, XPS, and PDF files)
- Fingerprint/Palm Print cards/Other Known Standard Cards (i.e., major case prints, joints of fingers, tips of fingers, etc.).
- Deferred Examination Approval (Processing, Comparison, and AFIS Documentation).
- AFIS Generated Documentation.

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

- DEA-466b (Completed outside of LIMS/ e.g., Known to Known print card comparison).
- Latent Print Case Activity & Communication Log DEA-466c.
- Latent Print Statistics Form DEA-466f.
- FBI/IAFIS/DHS Worksheet DEA-466d, if applicable.

# 3.5.5 Latent Print Case Activity & Communication Documentation

#### The SFS and FS:

- Document all activity and/or communication that occurred during the course of casework (e.g., contact with case agents or activity involving acquisition of fingerprint cards from state record bureaus).
- Document activity and communication in the form of emails, DEA-466c, and/or LIMS Communication Log Form.
- Attach emails and DEA-466c into LIMS Case Management, Case Attachments Fingerprint Attachments.

#### 3.5.6 Latent Print Statistics Form

#### The FS:

- Documents exhibit statistics on the Latent Print Statistics Form (DEA-466f) for each exhibit with the following information:
  - o Bench Transfers: Check the box if a bench transfer was conducted.
  - Total Specimens Examined: Number of individual specimens contained in the exhibit that were examined for latent prints.
    - Example: 1 plastic container with lid: equals 2.
  - Total Suitable Latent Prints Developed: Number of latent prints suitable for identification that were developed.
  - o Total Comparisons: Number of latent print comparisons to a known print card.
    - Example 1: 1 latent print compared to 1 known finger print card: equals 10.
    - Example 2: 1 latent palm print compared to 2 known palm print cards: equals 2.
  - Total Latent Prints Identified: Number of latent prints identified.

Latent Print Examination Manual Chapter 2 – Evidence Analysis

Revision: 2

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

Total AFIS Searches: Number of AFIS/NGI/DHS searches that were conducted including latent or ten print inquiries and latent re-inquiries.

- Total AFIS Identifications: Number of latent prints or ten prints identified as the result of an actual AFIS/NGI/DHS search.
- Attaches the DEA-466f into LIMS Case Management, Case Attachments Fingerprint Attachments.

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

# 4.0 Friction Ridge Examination Methodology

## 4.1 Friction Ridge Examinations

#### The FS:

 Utilizes the process of Analysis, Comparison, Evaluation and Verification (ACE-V) to all preserved friction ridge detail.

## 4.1.1 Analysis of Friction Ridge Detail

#### The FS:

- Assesses latent print detail to determine suitability for comparison.
- Considers the following factors in the assessment of latent print detail: quality (clarity), and quantity of first, second, and third level detail. (See Appendix 2B)

## 4.1.1.1 Documentation of Friction Ridge Detail Observed

#### The FS:

- Documents analysis results and observations on all latent print detail that was preserved.
- Documents analysis results and observations within the description box of the digital imaging system.
- Documents results and observations for each of the following:
  - o First Level Detail
  - Second Level Detail
  - Third Level Detail
  - Complex Latent Print Factors
- Documents a result of "Present/Not Present" for each examination followed by observation when "Present" is the result.

#### Example 1:

- Level 1 Present Whorl
- Level 2 Present Ridge Endings Bifurcations
- Level 3 Present Ridge Structure Flow
- o Complex Not Present

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

## Example 2:

- Level 1 Present Loop
- Level 2 Present Ridge Endings Bifurcations
- Level 3 Present Ridge Structure Flow
- o Complex Present Double Tap

## 4.1.2 Comparison of Friction Ridge Detail

- Comparison is the direct or side-by-side observation of friction ridge detail to determine whether the detail in two impressions are in agreement, based upon similarity, sequence, and spatial relationship.
- No absolute number of characteristics is required to establish a source identification.

#### The FS:

- Determines if one or more complex latent print(s) (CLP) factors are found to be challenging to the comparison.
  - Documents the analysis of CLP via annotated legible images, notations, or narrative description within the description box of the digital imaging system.
  - Attaches CLP documentation into LIMS Case Management, Case Attachments, Fingerprint Attachments.
  - o Labels the attachment as "CLP Documentation."

#### 4.1.3 Evaluation of Friction Ridge Detail

#### The FS:

- Evaluates friction ridge detail based upon analysis and comparison of friction ridge impressions.
- Reaches only one of the following conclusions for each comparison: (See Appendix 2D for definitions)
  - o Source Identification (i.e., came from the same source)
  - Inconclusive
  - Source Exclusion (i.e., came from a different source)

## 4.1.3.1 Evaluation Documentation

The FS:

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

- Documents the results of the comparison and evaluation of the latent print detail preserved on the DEA-466b, including:
  - Source Identification
  - Source Exclusion
  - Inconclusive
  - Not compared
  - Not suitable for identification
  - Exemplar(s) used to reach the conclusions
  - o Identified specific anatomic source (e.g., #1 or RT=Right Thumb, RP=Right Palm)
  - FS initials and date of each identification.
- Documents conclusions prior to verification.

#### 4.1.4 Verification

The IAI certified FS:

- Performs an independent verification on all identifications, using the ACE process, to either support or refute the conclusions of the original FS.
- Enters their username and password to document the verification in LIMS Exhibit Analysis Test/Depictions and LP Matrix/Verify.
- Confirms that their name and date appears in LIMS Depictions and LP Matrix LP Test within the Verification By (Date) to indicate the verification has been completed.
- Resolves differences in technical opinions through the Conflict Resolution process. (See 2-7.4)

33

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

Date Posted: August 13, 2018

# 5.0 Digital Imaging

## 5.1 Digital Image Capture

The FS:

- Uses a Digital Imaging Capture device to preserve the image once a latent print has been developed and is determined to be suitable for analysis.
- Places an adhesive scale on the evidence next to the preserved latent print or includes a scale with the captured image containing either the laboratory depiction designation or a depiction label. (See 2-4.3)
- Captures a digital image at a minimum of 1000 pixels per inch when possible.

## 5.2 Digital Imaging Processing

The FS:

- Acquires the captured digital image from the capture device using the Image Management System.
- Enters LIMS Case Number, Crime Type, and Description, as required by the Image Management System.
- Enters the following in the Description field:
  - Latent Print Depiction Identifier
  - Description of the Specimen
  - Documentation of First Level Detail, Second Level Detail, Third Level Detail, and Complex Latent Print Factors(s). (See 2-4.1.1.1)
- Verifies that the original image was saved in the database.
- Completes the enhancements of the images using the Image Management System.

#### 5.3 Archiving and Backing Up Images

 The FS refers to the Digital Imaging System's user manual for specific instructions for archiving and system back-ups.

The SFS:

Assigns a FS at each of the laboratories to verify the system back-up.

## 5.3.1 System Back-up

The assigned FS:

Latent Print Examination Manual Chapter 2 – Evidence Analysis

Revision: 2

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

- Logs (electronic or written) the results performed on each work day.
- Performs annual archiving by the end of the fiscal year.
- Purges the Digital Imaging System of images older than two years from date of archive (e.g., if an archive is performed on September 1, 2017, then all images from September 1, 2015 and prior will be archived).
- Writes images to a non-rewritable optical media, either DVD or DVD/Record (DVD-R) or Compact Disc/Record (CD-R).
- Archives images to two non-rewritable optical media.
- Chooses "read only" option to prevent any alterations to the non-rewritable optical media when archiving.
- Verifies that the images were successfully written to each non-rewritable optical media before deleting the images from the digital imaging server.
- Stores the non-rewritable optical media in two separate secured locations, one of which is readily accessible to the FSs.

# 6.0 Conducting Automated Fingerprint Identification System Searches

## 6.1 Requirements

#### The FS

Conducts an AFIS evaluation and search on any latent print(s) that remains unidentified in the exhibit.

EXCEPTION 1: When the unidentified latent print is found not suitable for an AFIS search.

EXCEPTION 2: When the unidentified latent was developed on evidence seized from a location believed to be outside of the United States (e.g., off-shore boat or aircraft).

EXCEPTION 3: When a subject(s) in the exhibit has already been identified and deferred AFIS approval was obtained.

• Department of Homeland Security AFIS guidelines. (See Appendix 2C).

#### 6.2 Universal Latent Workstation

#### The FS:

- Uses the FBI Universal Latent Workstation (ULW) software to search and compare unidentified latent prints.
- Searches latent prints as Latent Friction Feature Search (LFFS) and/or Latent Friction Image Search (LFIS).
- Enters the Case Prefix and Case ID for each latent print searched.
  - Case Prefix: FS Initials, Laboratory Depiction Number (e.g., BCL\_1.1-1-A)
  - o Case ID: LIMS Case Number (e.g., 2016-SFLX-XXXXX)
- Is not required to register an unidentified latent print in the Unsolved Latent File.

## 6.3 Regional Automated Fingerprint Identification System

The FS may use the regional AFIS database for searching unidentified latent prints.

## 6.4 Department of Homeland Security Automated Fingerprint Identification System

#### The FS:

 May use the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) database for searching unidentified latent prints.

Latent Print Examination Manual Chapter 2 – Evidence Analysis

Revision: 2

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

- Follows the requested procedures when submitting an AFIS search request to Department of Homeland Security (DHS). (See Appendix 2C)
- Requests a candidate list and/or subject's known fingerprints with the accompanying biographic data from DHS, when necessary.

NOTE: Privacy requirements may restrict the dissemination of the candidate list in some instances.

- Attaches the electronic mail message sent to DHS into LIMS Case Management, Case Attachments -Fingerprint Attachments.
- Attaches the report from DHS into LIMS Case Management, Case Attachments Fingerprint Attachments.

## 6.5 Additional Reporting and Documentation Requirements

#### The FS:

- Documents AFIS submissions in the LIMS Result Entry Depictions tab.
- Does not report negative search results of candidates produced via a search.
- Attaches all AFIS-generated documentation into LIMS Case Management, Case Attachments -Fingerprint Attachments including:
  - List of candidates generated for each search
  - Encoded image (LFFS and local AFIS search)
  - Print for Records report (LFFS and/or LFIS)
- Verifies above documentation is saved in LIMS prior to removing it from the workstation.

37

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

## 7.0 Conducting Reviews

## 7.1 Requirements

- Technical and administrative reviews will be performed on all casework.
- Technical reviews are performed by a FS or SFS.
- Administrative reviews are performed by SFS or designee.
- Resolves differences in technical opinions through the Conflict Resolution process. (See 2-7.4)

#### 7.2 Technical Reviews

- The technical reviewer verifies:
  - o Conclusions and supporting case documentation are present and complete.
  - Conclusions are consistent with the documented data, and are within the limitations of the discipline.
  - All supporting documentation is included in the case file.
  - Unique identifier and exhibit are properly documented on all reports.
  - Evidence description is complete and consistent with the DEA-7 or Non-Drug Evidence Laboratory Analysis Request (DEA-7b).
  - Observations and analyses are clearly and completely documented, in accordance with policy.
  - Appropriate examinations have been performed.
  - Identifications have been verified and documented.
  - Reports and results are clear, concise, accurate, and complete.
- The name of the technical reviewer and date of the review will be documented in LIMS.
  - NOTE 1: The technical reviewer's name and date reviewed appear on the LPDR Review Information
  - NOTE 2: The technical reviewer is not responsible for conducting a physical examination of the evidence or re-examining the latent print comparisons in order to complete a review.

#### 7.3 Administrative Reviews

The administrative reviewer verifies:

Latent Print Examination Manual Chapter 2 – Evidence Analysis

Revision: 2

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

- Compliance with procedures for documenting latent print examinations.
- Necessary technical review has been conducted and documented.
- Documentation is free of administrative or transfer errors and the improper use of abbreviations.

NOTE 1: The administrative reviewer's name and date reviewed appear on the LPDR – Review Information.

NOTE 2: The administrative reviewer name and date reviewed appear on the DEA-111.

#### 7.4 Conflict Resolution

 Conflict resolution is the process used to settle differences in technical opinion between the examiner and reviewer.

NOTE: The conflict resolution process may include reviewing examination notes, discussing and potentially re-examining the evidence.

- The process resolves differences in technical opinion through the following incremental steps:
  - Step 1: Discussion between examiner and reviewer
  - Step 2: Independent review
  - o Step 3: Consensus panel
- FSs document the basis for their opinions at steps 2 and 3 in the process.
  - o Includes memoranda explaining the basis of opinions.
  - o Includes supporting documentation (e.g., charts, photos, etc.).
  - Attaches notations, images, and documentation generated during the conflict resolution process into the case file.

#### 7.4.1 Discussion between Examiner and Reviewer

- The examiner and reviewer discuss their respective opinions and review case documentation and any relevant materials.
- The examiner and reviewer either resolve their differences in opinion or notify the SFS if resolution cannot be reached.

NOTE: No approvals or additional documentation is required if the issue is resolved between examiner and reviewer.

 The FSs submits all notations, images, and documentation generated during the discussion to the SFS when resolution cannot be reached.

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

NOTE: The LQAM is notified when the SFS is the original or reviewing fingerprint specialist.

#### 7.4.2 Independent Review

 An independent review is conducted after the examiner and reviewer fail to resolve their differences in opinion.

#### The SFS:

NOTE: The LQAM performs the duties of the SFS when the SFS is the original or reviewing fingerprint specialist.

- The SFS appoints an IAI certified FS from a different laboratory to perform an independent review.
  - o Provides unannotated images/photographs and any relevant documentation to the independent reviewer.
  - Provides guidance on refraining from any technical discussion of the examination or their conclusions with others.
  - Does not provide I.A. Case Number, LIMS #, Exhibit #, and identity of the Original / Reviewing FS to the independent reviewer.

## The Independent Reviewer:

- Conducts a blind and independent examination using the provided unannotated images/photographs and any relevant documentation.
  - Reports their conclusions to the SFS.
  - Submits notations, images, and documentation generated during their review to the SFS.

### The SFS:

- Notifies the examiner and reviewing FSs of the independent reviewer conclusion and discusses the findings with both FSs to try to resolve the conflict.
  - Protects the identity of the independent reviewer.
  - Documents the resolution of the disagreement in LIMS Case Management Fingerprint Attachments.
- Notifies the LQAM and SF Program Manager (PM) if consensus cannot be reached.

#### 7.4.3 Consensus Panel

 A consensus panel is convened after an independent review fails to resolve differences in technical opinion.

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

#### The SFS:

- Requests SF PM to convene a consensus panel.
  - Provides I.A. Case Number, LIMS #, Exhibit #, and Original and Reviewing FS names to the LQAM and SF PM.
  - o Provides unannotated images/photographs, and any relevant documentation to SF PM.
  - o Provides name of Independent Reviewer to SF PM.

#### The SF PM:

- Convenes a consensus panel consisting of three IAI certified FSs.
- Selects consensus panel participants (CPPs) from three laboratories with a fingerprint program.
- Avoids selecting a CPP from the originating laboratory.
- Provides unannotated images/photographs, and any relevant documentation.
- Does not provide I.A. Case Number, LIMS #, Exhibit #, and identities of the Original / Reviewing / Independent Reviewer FS.

#### Each Consensus Panel Participant:

Conducts a blind, independent examination.

#### The Consensus Panel:

- Convenes after the independent examinations to discuss findings.
- Issues a consensus conclusion statement(s) to the SF PM.

#### The SF PM:

Provides a summary of the Consensus Panel conclusion(s) to the SFS, LQAM, and SFQAM.

#### The SFS:

- Informs Original / Reviewing FSs of the consensus panel conclusion.
- Ensures a final report, consistent with the Consensus Panel conclusion(s), is issued by the original FS, reviewing FS or SFS.

#### The SFQAM:

 Reviews the conflict resolution documentation and determines if additional action is necessary (e.g., preventative action or policy change).

41

Latent Print Examination Manual Chapter 2 – Evidence Analysis

Revision: 2

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

## 7.4.4 Reporting Conclusion(s)

- Reported conclusion(s) support the conclusion(s) reached as a result of the Consensus Panel.
  - Original FS issues the report when Consensus Panel conclusion(s) supports the original examination.
  - Reviewing FS issues the report when Consensus Panel conclusion(s) supports the conclusion(s) of the reviewing FS.
  - o SFS issues an inconclusive report when the Consensus Panel cannot reach agreement.
    - NOTE: The individual issuing the reported conclusion(s) must support the conclusion(s) reached as a result of the Consensus Panel.
- Uses SRL in the Examination, Results, and Conclusions section of the DEA-111. (See Appendix 2A)

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

# 8.0 Preliminary Results

## The FS:

- Is authorized to provide preliminary negative results prior to a final report.
- Reports one of the following preliminary negative results:
  - o No latent prints developed
  - o No latent prints suitable for identification
  - Exclusions effected to date
- Conveys that a comprehensive review has not yet been completed and the final results may be subject to change.
- Documents communication of preliminary negative results either by LIMS-Activity and Communication Log, DEA-466/DEA-466c, or by email.
- Attaches documentation into LIMS Case Management, Case Attachments Fingerprint Attachments.

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

# **CHAPTER 3 – FIELD ASSISTANCE**

| 1.0 | Field Assistance               | 45 |
|-----|--------------------------------|----|
| 1.1 | Scope                          | 45 |
| 2.0 | Latent Print Field Processing  | 46 |
| 2.1 | Preparing for Field Processing | 46 |
| 2.2 | On Site Activities             | 46 |
| 2.3 | Upon Return to Laboratory      | 47 |
| 2.4 | Field Processing Documentation | 47 |
| 2.5 | Reporting                      | 48 |

Latent Print Examination Manual Chapter 3 – Field Assistance

Revision: 2

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

# 1.0 Field Assistance

# 1.1 Scope

- Forensic support for field assistance can range from support of clandestine laboratory investigations to the processing of bulk evidence in the field.
- Laboratory personnel use the procedures described in this chapter, in conjunction with REDACTED.

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

# 2.0 Latent Print Field Processing

## The LD or designee:

- Coordinates field processing response within the laboratory's area of responsibility in which DEA asserts primary authority.
- Ensures that only clandestine laboratory certified FSs respond to field investigations.
- Ensures that all clandestine laboratory certified FSs have a working knowledge of the evidence procedures in REDACTED.
- Ensures that all participating FSs have a working knowledge of latent print processing used in the field.

#### The SFS:

 Assigns the exhibit(s) seized during a field investigation to the FS(s) who participated in the operation, when practical.

## 2.1 Preparing for Field Processing

#### The FS:

- Communicates, plans, and organizes with the SA, DI, or TFO on technical and logistical matters pertinent to the investigation.
- Responds to requests for field assistance with proper personal protective equipment (PPE) (e.g., respirators, goggles, etc.).
- Ensures that all participating laboratory personnel are familiar with all the information supplied to the field laboratory by the SA, DI, or TFO regarding the investigation.
- Determines:
  - What type and amount of evidence to be processed.
  - Number of FSs needed for processing of scene.
  - Equipment needed for latent print processing.
  - Chemicals and material required for latent print processing.

## 2.2 On Site Activities

#### The FS:

• Enters the laboratory only after the premises are secured by the SAs, DIs, or TFOs.

Latent Print Examination Manual Chapter 3 – Field Assistance

Revision: 2

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

- Conducts an assessment of the site to identify potential hazards and conditions that might affect latent print processing.
- Obtains approval from the SA, DI, or TFO prior to moving items that require relocation for safety reasons and for latent print processing.
- Assists the SAs, DIs, or TFOs in preparing a complete inventory of the site and in determining what evidence can be processed for latent prints.
- Assists the SAs, DIs or TFOs in the handling and preparation of fingerprint evidence for submission to the laboratory.
- Creates a DEA-12 (Receipt for Cash or Other Items) for transfer of developed latent print evidence to the SA or TFO.
- Coordinates with FCs to determine best protocol for latent print processing before a chemical sampling.
- Photographs all essential areas of the site, as well as the evidence selected for latent print processing.
- Documents fingerprint evidence with generic identifier, initials, and case identifier (IA Case #).
- Ensures recognition of fingerprint evidence in photographs.
- Determines the correct processes in developing latent prints.
- Documents deviations of processing of specimens on the DEA-466.

NOTE: Discusses deviations with the SFS beforehand, if possible.

• Records any maintenance conducted on fingerprint equipment in the maintenance logbook.

## 2.3 Upon Return to Laboratory

The FS:

- Restocks supplies and cleans fingerprint equipment.
- Places all photographs or digital images in the case file.

# 2.4 Field Processing Documentation

The FS:

- Prepares a DEA-466 (DEA-466a, when applicable), the report will contain the following:
  - o IA Case Number

47

Latent Print Examination Manual Chapter 3 – Field Assistance

Revision: 2

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

- Description of Evidence
- Latent Print Development Processing
- Documentation of Evidence Transfer (if needed)
- Retains all original documentation in the case file, including (but not limited to):
  - Handwritten notes
  - Sketches or diagrams
  - o DEA-466c (if applicable)
  - o DEA-12 (if applicable)
- Attaches all documentation into LIMS Case Management, Case Attachments Fingerprint Attachments when fingerprint evidence is received into the laboratory.

## 2.5 Reporting

#### The FS:

- Prepares a DEA-111 after the field investigation has been completed.
- Uses SRL for Field Investigation Results. (See Appendix 2A)
- Saves a copy of the DEA-111 in hard copy case file.
- Attaches the DEA-111 into LIMS Case Management, Case Attachments Fingerprint Attachments when fingerprint evidence is received into the laboratory.
- Generates a supplemental DEA-111 when fingerprint evidence is received into the laboratory to report the subsequent examination conclusions.

48

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

# **CHAPTER 4 – ORIENTATION AND TRAINING**

| 1.0 | Orientation and Training            | 50 |
|-----|-------------------------------------|----|
| 1.1 | Scope                               | 50 |
| 2.0 | Responsibilities                    | 51 |
| 2.1 | The Training Fingerprint Specialist | 51 |
| 2.2 | The Fingerprint Specialist          | 51 |
| 3.0 | Training Content                    | 53 |
| 3.1 | Required Content                    | 53 |
| 3.2 | External Training                   | 53 |
| 3.3 | Clandestine Laboratory Training     | 53 |
| 3.4 | Competency Examination              | 53 |
| 3.2 | Additional Training                 | 53 |

Latent Print Examination Manual Chapter 4 – Orientation, Initial Competency and Training

Revision: 2

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

# 1.0 Orientation and Training

# 1.1 Scope

- This chapter applies to all newly-hired and rehired FSs.
- FSs must successfully complete required content and pass a competency comparison examination before examining casework.
- Training exercises are completed and documented on Criteria & Evaluation forms. (See SFDCC)

50

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

# 2.0 Responsibilities

#### The LD:

Determines competency. (See LOM 72)

#### The SFS:

- Designates the Training Fingerprint Specialist (TFS).
- Assigns duties to the TFS.
- Creates the practical skills assessments and training exercises, in accordance with policy.
- Communicates with all affected LQAMs and LDs regarding performance.
- Recommends remedial training and counseling during the probationary period.
- Reviews the training program to identify gaps in instruction, materials, and propose changes to improve program delivery.
- Maintains training records.
- Assigns the competency and comparison examination.
- Provides appropriate training and competency documentation to the LQAM.
- · Provides necessary outside training.

## 2.1 The Training Fingerprint Specialist

### The TFS:

- · Administers training exercises and practical skills assessments.
- Provides additional training, as needed.
- Provides written weekly progress updates to the SFS.
- Meets with the SFS to discuss overall progress on a bi-weekly basis.
- Documents the completion of tasks on Criteria & Evaluation forms.

## 2.2 The Fingerprint Specialist

#### The FS:

• Completes required training exercises designated on Criteria & Evaluation forms.

Latent Print Examination Manual Chapter 4 – Orientation, Initial Competency and Training

Revision: 2

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

- Completes external training or additional training exercises as necessary.
- Completes a competency comparison examination.

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

# 3.0 Training Content

## 3.1 Required Content

- Training exercises in the following subject areas will be completed to demonstrate competency:
  - Established Policies, Procedures and Guidelines
  - o Evidence Handling
  - Methods
  - o Equipment
  - Latent Print Comparison
  - o Forms, Reports and Documentation
  - Automated Fingerprint Identification Systems (AFIS)
  - Procedures of Law & Law and Regulations
  - Moot Court

NOTE: The SFS will determine training exercise requirements for a rehired FS.

## 3.2 External Training

The FS trainee will receive external training as determined by the SFS.

#### 3.3 Clandestine Laboratory Training

• The FS will receive clandestine laboratory training before participating in field work. (See Chapter 3-2.0)

## 3.4 Competency Examination

The FS will successfully complete a competency comparison examination administered by the SFS.

#### 3.2 Additional Training

Additional training may be provided until the FS demonstrates competency.

NOTE: In some cases, training may require FS to successfully complete additional competency examinations.

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

# **APPENDIX 1A – LPEM DEFINITIONS**

| Term                         | LPEM Definition   | Reference |
|------------------------------|---|-----------|
| Accreditation<br>Cycle       | The period of time (generally four years) between the date that accreditation is granted and the date accreditation expires.  | 3         |
| AFIS                         | Acronym for Automated Fingerprint Identification System. A generic term for a fingerprint matching, storage, and retrieval system.  | 2         |
| ACE-V                        | Acronym for a scientific method: Analysis, Comparison, Evaluation, and Verification (see individual terms).   | 1         |
| Analysis                     | The first step of the ACE-V method. The assessment of an impression to determine suitability for comparison.  | 1         |
| Candidate List               | Compiled ranking of images generated from an NGI search.<br>Rankings are arranged from highest to lowest score based on<br>the information entered.   | 5         |
| Capture Device               | A device, such as a digital camera, flatbed scanner, or film scanner used to record a digital image of an object.   | 5         |
| Case Number                  | Contains the office designators and the case number. See IA   | 5         |
| CD-R                         | Acronym for Compact Disc-Recordable. Optical disc format designed to function as data storage media.  | 5         |
| Characteristic               | Feature of friction ridges. Commonly referred to as a minutiae, Galton detail, point, feature, ridge formation, or ridge morphology.  | 5         |
| Comparison                   | The second step of the ACE-V method. The observation of two or more impressions to determine the existence of discrepancies, dissimilarities, or similarities.                                  | 1         |
| Competency                   | Possessing and demonstrating the requisite knowledge, skills, and abilities to successfully perform a specific task.  | 1         |
| Complex<br>Analysis          | The advanced examination of friction ridge skin due to dissimilarities or factors influencing the quality of the latent print that could interfere with the proper interpretation of the print. | 5         |
| Complex Latent<br>Print      | Latent prints are considered complex when one or more observations are made.  | 4         |
| Cyanoacrylate<br>Ester (CAE) | An adhesive used in a fuming method to develop latent prints.   | 5         |
| Depiction/Original Image     | An accurate replica (pixel for pixel) of the primary image.   | 1         |
| Digital Capture              | The process of recording an image of an object onto any digital media.  | 5         |
| Digital Image                | A numerical representation recorded as a series of binary digits (bits) either as 1 or 0 with no values in between. See Depiction.  | 5         |

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

| Term                                     | LPEM Definition   | Reference |
|--|---|-----------|
| Digital Media                            | Any object on which a digital image is preserved.   | 5         |
| Depiction                                | See Latent Print.   | 4         |
| Distortion                               | Variances in the reproduction of friction skin caused by factors such as pressure, movement, force, contact surface.  | 1         |
| DVD-R                                    | Acronym for Digital Versatile Disc or Digital Video Disc/Record. Optical disc format designed to function as data storage media.  | 5         |
| Evaluation                               | The third step of the ACE-V method wherein an examiner assesses the value of the details observed during the analysis and the comparison steps and reaches a conclusion.  | 1         |
| Evidence                                 | Equivalent to test items material, regardless of form, which is received by a laboratory for purpose of gleaning information relevant to a criminal investigation through examination/analysis by one or more of the laboratory's testing procedures. | 3         |
| Exclusion                                | The determination by an examiner that there is sufficient quality and quantity of detail in disagreement to conclude that two areas of friction ridge impressions did not originate from the same source.   | 1         |
| Examination                              | The procedure utilized by the laboratory fingerprint specialist to obtain information from evidence in order to reach conclusions concerning the nature of and/or associations related to evidence received by the laboratory.                        | 3         |
| External<br>Proficiency Test             | A test prepared, provided by, and reported to a source external to the laboratory, laboratory system, or the laboratory parent organization.  | 3         |
| Fingerprint                              | An impression of the friction ridges of all or any part of the finger.  | 1         |
| Friction Ridge                           | A raised portion of the epidermis on the palmar or plantar skin, consisting of one or more connected ridge units.   | 1         |
| Friction Ridge<br>Detail<br>(Morphology) | An area comprised of the combination of ridge flow, ridge characteristics, and ridge structure.   | 1         |
| FUR                                      | Acronym for friction ridge unrecoverable. Friction ridge detail not able to be retained on the evidence on which it was developed on, is considered the "best evidence" in the exhibit.   | 4         |
| IA                                       | Acronym for Investigating Agency. See Case Number.  | 5         |
| IAFIS                                    | Acronym for Integrated Automated Fingerprint Identification System.   | 5         |

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

| Term                         | LPEM Definitions   | Reference |
|------------------------------|--|-----------|
| Identification               | The determination by an examiner that there is sufficient quality and quantity of detail in agreement to conclude that two friction ridge impressions originated from the same source. | 1         |
| Known Prints                 | The prints of an individual, associated with a known or claimed identity, and deliberately recorded electronically, by ink, or by another medium. (Finger, Palm, and Foot Prints)      | 1         |
| Known to Known<br>Comparison | Comparison of a known print (either ten print or single print) with another known print.   | 5         |
| LASER                        | Acronym for Light Amplification by Stimulated Emission of Radiation. The device produces coherent wavelengths of light.  | 5         |
| Latent Print                 | Transferred impression of friction ridge detail not readily visible. Generic term used for unintentionally deposited friction ridge detail.  | 1         |
| Level One Detail             | Friction ridge flow, pattern type, and general morphological information.  | 1         |
| Level Two Detail             | Individual friction ridge paths and associated events, including minutiae.   | 1         |
| Level Three<br>Detail        | Friction ridge dimensional attributes, such as width, edge shapes, and pores.  | 1         |
| Lift                         | An adhesive or other medium used to transfer a friction ridge impression from a substrate  | 1         |
| LIMS                         | Acronym for Laboratory Information Management System. A computerized case tracking system.   | 8         |
| Matrix                       | The substance that is deposited or removed by the friction ridge skin when making an impression.   | 1         |
| Minutiae                     | Events along a ridge path, including bifurcations, ending ridges, and dots (also known as Galton details or characteristics).  | 1         |
| NGI                          | Acronym for Next Generation Identification. The updated version of IAFIS   | 1         |
| Not Identifiable             | The determination by the examiner when the print is unsuitable for comparison due to the lack of sufficient detail.  | 0         |
| Quality                      | The clarity of the information contained within a friction ridge impression.   | 1         |
| Quantity                     | The amount of information contained within a friction ridge impression.  | 1         |

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

| Term                   | LPEM Definitions   | Reference |
|------------------------|--|-----------|
| Quality<br>Assurance   | Those planned and systematic actions necessary to provide sufficient confidence that a laboratory's product or service will satisfy given requirements for quality.  | 3         |
| Palm Print             | An impression of the friction ridges of all or any part of the palmar surface of the hand.   | 1         |
| Proficiency            | The ongoing demonstration of competency.   | 1         |
| Proficiency Test       | A test to evaluate the capability and performance of fingerprint specialist, technical support personnel, and the laboratory; in open tests, the analysts and technical support personnel are aware that they are being tested; in blind tests, they are not aware.  | 3         |
| Proper Seal            | A seal that prevents loss, cross transfer, or contamination while ensuring that attempted entry into the container is detectable. A proper seal may include a heat seal, tape seal, or a lock. The initials or other identification of the person creating the seal shall be placed on the seal or across the seal onto the container when possible. | 3         |
| RAW                    | Image file that contains the unprocessed data from the image sensor of a digital camera.   | 5         |
| Reagent                | Substance used in a chemical reaction to detect, examine, measure, or produce other substances.  | 5         |
| Ridge Flow             | The direction of one or more friction ridges. A component of Level 1 detail.   | 1         |
| Ridge Path             | The course of single friction ridge. A component of Level 2 detail.  | 1         |
| Secondary<br>Container | As any container being used beyond the original manufacturer's bottle that the chemical was shipped in. This may include, but is not limited to: Portable or working containers, such as flasks, beakers or small storage bottles in "immediate use".  | 9         |
| TIF / TIFF             | The acronym Tagged Image File Format.  | 5         |
| Tonal Reversal         | A transferred impression representing the furrows of a friction ridge impression rather than the ridges. Tonal reversals result in the reverse effect than expected, i.e. with ink or black powder, the dark lines represent the furrows instead of the friction ridges.   | 6         |
| Verification           | Independent application of the ACE process as utilized by a subsequent examiner to either support or refute the conclusions of the original examiner.  | 1         |
| UCN                    | Acronym for Universal Control Number. A unique number within the Criminal Justice Information Services (CJIS) Division files assigned to an individual.  | 7         |
| Working Solution       | Solution at the proper dilution for processing.  | 5         |

Latent Print Examination Manual APPENDIX 1A – LPEM Definitions

Revision: 2

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

| Reference # | Reference Location   |
|-------------|--|
| 1           | NIJ The Fingerprint Sourcebook   |
| 2           | SWGFAST Standard Terminology of Friction Ridge Examination   |
| 3           | 2011 Supplemental Requirements for Accreditation of Forensic Science Testing   |
|             | Laboratories – Appendix A - Glossary   |
| 4           | Latent Print Examination Manual  |
| 5           | FBI Latent Print Operations Manual Integrated Automated Fingerprint Identification System, Glossary 2008 Examining Friction Ridge Impressions, Glossary 2010 Processing Used to Develop Latent Prints, Glossary 2006 Digital Images, Glossary 2008 Definitions and Abbreviations, 2017 |
| 6           | Michele Triplett Fingerprint Terms/www.fprints.nwlean.net/d.htm  |
| 7           | FBI Universal Latent Workstation Version 6.4.1 Supplemental Instructions   |
| 8           | LIMS LP Quick Reference Guide (QRG) Version 1.6  |
| 9           | www.marquette.edu.orc.documents  |

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

# APPENDIX 1B - SYMBOLS, ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

| Item   | Definition  |
|--------|---|
| 0      | Identified Latent Print (Symbol)                              |
| /      | Symbol for Left Slant Loop                                    |
| \      | Symbol for Right Slant Loop                                   |
| А      | Arch  |
| AB     | Amido Black   |
| ACE-V  | Analysis, Comparison, Evaluation and Verification Methodology |
| ADAMS  | Authenticated Digital Asset Management System                 |
| ADM    | Analysis of Drug Manual                                       |
| ADX    | Ardrox  |
| AFIS   | Automated Fingerprint Identification System                   |
| AFIX   | AFIX Tracker System   |
| AKA    | Also Known As   |
| ALS    | Alternate Light Source  |
| ALT BP | Alternate Black powder  |
| AM     | Agents Manual   |
| AQ     | AFIS Quality  |
| ASP    | Adhesive Side Powder  |
| BET    | Black Electrical Tape   |
| ВМР    | Black Magnetic Powder   |
| ВР     | Black Powder  |
| ВТ     | Black Tape  |
| BW     | Bubble Wrap   |
| С      | Compared, No ID (DEA-466b only)                               |

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

| ltem     | Definition                                     |
|----------|--|
| CAE      | Cyanoacrylate Ester (chamber/glue fuming)      |
| CAL      | Caliber (weapon)                               |
| CAL-DOJ  | California – Department of Justice             |
| CBB      | Coomassie Brilliant Blue R250                  |
| CDO      | Crowle's Double Stain                          |
| CD-R     | Compact Disc/Recordable                        |
| CJIS     | (FBI) Criminal Justice Information Services    |
| CLP      | Complex Latent Print                           |
| СР       | Clear Plastic                                  |
| СРА      | Convenience Packaging                          |
| СРВ      | Clear Plastic Bag                              |
| CPLSB    | Clear Plastic Lock Seal Bag                    |
| CPP      | Consensus Panel Participants                   |
| CPSB     | Clear Plastic Sandwich Bag                     |
| CPW      | Clear Plastic Wrap                             |
| CRT      | Clear Reinforced Tape                          |
| СТ       | Clear Tape                                     |
| CYVAC    | Cyanoacrylate Vacuum Chamber                   |
| DEA      | Drug Enforcement Administration                |
| DEA-111  | Latent Print Examination Report                |
| DEA-12   | Receipt For Cash or Other Items                |
| DEA-466  | Latent Print Examination Worksheet             |
| DEA-466a | DEA-466 Continuation Sheet                     |
| DEA-466b | Latent Print Matrix Worksheet                  |
| DEA-466c | Latent Print Case Activity & Communication Log |

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

| ltem     | Definition  |
|----------|---|
| DEA-466f | Latent Print Statistics Form                            |
| DEA-7    | Report of Drug Property Collected, Purchased, or Seized |
| DEA-7a   | Acquisition of Non-Drug Property Seizures               |
| DEA-7b   | Non-Drug Evidence Laboratory Analysis Report            |
| DFO      | 1,8-diazafluoren-9-one                                  |
| DHS      | Department of Homeland Security                         |
| DI       | Diversion Investigator                                  |
| DOJ      | Department of Justice                                   |
| DVD      | Digital Versatile Disc or Digital Video Disc            |
| DVD-R    | Digital Versatile Disc or Digital Video Disc-Record     |
| E.G.     | For Example   |
| ENV      | Environmental Humidity Chamber                          |
| ES       | Evidence Specialist                                     |
| EX       | Exhibit   |
| EXT      | External  |
| F        | Fingerprint (DEA-466b only)                             |
| FBI      | Federal Bureau of Investigation                         |
| FBS      | Firebird Booking Station                                |
| FC       | Forensic Chemist  |
| FIN      | Fingerprint Unit  |
| FLP      | Fluorescent Powder                                      |
| FLS      | Forensic Light Source                                   |
| FP       | Fingerprint   |
| FR       | Fragment (DEA-466b only)                                |
| FS       | Fingerprint Specialist                                  |

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

| ltem  | Definition   |
|-------|--|
| FSIS  | Full Spectrum Imaging System                                 |
| FUR   | Friction Ridge Unrecoverable                                 |
| GB    | Glassine Bag   |
| GE    | Glassine Envelope  |
| GP    | Gray Powder  |
| GS    | Group Supervisor   |
| GV    | Gentian Violet   |
| HSEE  | Heat Seal Evidence Envelope                                  |
| I     | Impression   |
| I.E.  | That Is  |
| IA    | Investigative Agency   |
| IAFIS | Integrated Automated Fingerprint Identification System (FBI) |
| IAI   | International Association for Identification                 |
| ID    | Identification   |
| IF    | Inherent Fluorescence  |
| INT   | Internal   |
| IRR   | Image Request Response                                       |
| J     | Lower Joint (DEA-466b only)                                  |
| JABS  | Joint Automated Booking Service                              |
| .jpg  | Joint Photographic Experts Group                             |
| L     | Loop   |
| LASER | Light Amplification by Stimulated Emission of Radiation      |
| LD    | Laboratory Director  |
| LF    | Left Footprint (DEA-466b only)                               |
| LFFS  | Latent Fingerprint Feature Search                            |

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

| ltem  | Definition  |
|-------|---|
| LFIS  | Latent Fingerprint Image Search                     |
| LI/7  | Left Index Finger (#7) (DEA-466b only)              |
| LIMS  | Laboratory Information Management System            |
| LL/10 | Left Little Finger (#10) (DEA-466b only)            |
| LM/8  | Left Middle Finger (#8) (DEA-466b only)             |
| LOM   | Laboratory Operations Manual                        |
| LP    | Latent Print  |
| LPP/L | Left Palm Print (L) (DEA-466b only)                 |
| LPDR  | Latent Print Details Report (Generated by LIMS)     |
| LPEM  | Latent Print Examination Manual                     |
| LPER  | Latent Print Examination Report (Generated by LIMS) |
| LR/9  | Left Ring Finger (#9) (DEA-466b only)               |
| LSB   | Lock Seal Bag                                       |
| LT/6  | Left Thumb (#6) (DEA-466b only)                     |
| М     | Major Case (DEA-466b only)                          |
| MBD   | 7-P-methoxybenzlamino- 4notrobenz-2-oxa-1,3-diazile |
| MCP   | Major Case Prints                                   |
| MGP   | Magnetic powder                                     |
| MSDPS | Maryland State Department of Public Safety          |
| MT    | Masking Tape  |
| N     | Not Compared (DEA-466b only)                        |
| N/A   | Not Applicable                                      |
| NAQ   | Not AFIS Quality                                    |
| NARD  | No Additional Ridge Detail                          |
| NE    | Not Evaluated (DEA-466b only)                       |

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

| ltem  | Definition   |
|-------|--|
| NEG   | Negative   |
| NGI   | (FBI) Next Generation Identification   |
| NI    | Not Identifiable   |
| NIN   | Ninhydrin  |
| NLP   | No Latent Prints   |
| NV    | No Value   |
| NVRD  | No Visible Ridge Detail  |
| NYDPS | New York Department of Public Safety   |
| 0     | Compared, No ID, Need Additional Known Prints (DEA-466b only)  |
| OPR   | Office of Professional Responsibility  |
| ORG   | Original   |
| OV    | Of Value   |
| Р     | Palm print (DEA-466b only)   |
| PAB   | Paper Bag  |
| PB    | Plastic Bag  |
| PD    | Physical Developer   |
| .pdf  | Portable Document Format   |
| PH    | Photograph(s)  |
| PKG   | Package  |
| PM    | SF Fingerprint Program Manager   |
| POS   | Positive   |
| PP    | Palm Print   |
| PPE   | Personal Protective Equipment  |
| PPI   | Pixels Per Inch  |
| PSEE  | Plastic Sealed Evidence Envelope [Heat Sealed Evidence Envelope (HSEE) or Self Sealing Evidence Envelope (SSEE)] |

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

| ltem  | Definition                               |
|-------|--|
| PTP   | Proficiency Testing Program              |
| PW    | Plastic Wrap                             |
| QA    | Quality Assurance                        |
| QAM   | Quality Assurance Manager                |
| QAS   | Quality Assurance Specialist             |
| QRG   | Quick Reference Guide                    |
| R6G   | Rhodamine 6G                             |
| RAM   | Rhodamine 6G, Ardrox, MBD dye stain      |
| RF    | Right Footprint (DEA-466b only)          |
| RI/2  | Right Index Finger (#2) (DEA-466b only)  |
| RL/5  | Right Little Finger (#5) (DEA-466b only) |
| RM/3  | Right Middle Finger (#3) (DEA-466b only) |
| RR/4  | Right Ring Finger (#4) (DEA-466b only)   |
| RPP/R | Right Palm Print (R) (DEA-466b only)     |
| RSLD  | Resealed                                 |
| RT/1  | Right Thumb (#1) (DEA-466b only)         |
| RTV   | Returned to Vault                        |
| RUVIS | Reflective Ultraviolet Imaging System    |
| SA    | Special Agent                            |
| SAC   | Special Agent in Charge                  |
| SBX   | Sealed Box                               |
| SC    | Supervisory Chemist                      |
| SF    | Office of Forensic Sciences              |
| SFC   | Senior Forensic Chemist                  |
| SFM   | Laboratory Management & Operations       |

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

| Item       | Definition  |
|------------|---|
| SFPS       | Senior Fingerprint Specialist   |
| SFQ        | Quality Assurance Section   |
| SFS        | Supervisory Fingerprint Specialist  |
| SID        | State Identification Number   |
| SN         | Silver Nitrate  |
| SRL        | Standard Reporting Language   |
| SSEE       | Self-Sealing Evidence Envelope  |
| SSET       | Safety Seal Evidence Tape   |
| SSP        | Sticky Side Powder  |
| ST         | Scotch Tape   |
| SWGFAST    | Scientific Working Group on Friction Ridge Analysis, Study and Technology |
| Т          | Tip of Finger (DEA-466b only)   |
| TD         | Titanium Dioxide (Ti02)   |
| TFO        | Task Force Officer  |
| TFS        | Training Fingerprint Specialist   |
| TIF / TIFF | Tagged Image Format File  |
| ТОТ        | Turned over To  |
| TP         | Toe Print (DEA-466b only)   |
| TR         | Technical Reviewer  |
| TXDPS      | Texas Department of Public Safety   |
| UCN        | Universal Control Number  |
| UF         | Unknown Footprint (DEA-466b only)   |
| ULF        | Unsolved Latent File  |
| ULM        | Universal Latent Match  |
| ULW        | Universal Latent Workstation  |

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

| Item | Definition                       |
|------|----------------------------------|
| UV   | Ultra-Violet Light               |
| VIN  | Vehicle Identification Number    |
| VIS  | Visual                           |
| VSB  | Vacuum Seal Bag                  |
| W    | Whorl                            |
| WIN  | Western Identification Network   |
| X    | Not Identifiable (DEA-466b only) |
| .xps | Open XML Paper Specification     |
| ZLPB | Zip-Lock Plastic Bag             |

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

## APPENDIX 2A – STANDARD REPORTING LANGUAGE

The SRL for a comparison examination shall indicate whether a print originates from the same source (identified to), different source (excluded from), or is inconclusive (can't be identified or excluded) when two impression are compared.

## **Required DOJ ULTR Statement**

| SRL: | The terminology used in the preparation of this report is consistent with the current Department of Justice Uniform Language For Testimony and Reports For The Forensic Latent Print Discipline. |
|------|--|
|------|--|

# **Processing Examination Results**

| No Friction Ridge Detail Developed or Observed |   |
|--|---|
| Criteria:                                      | No friction ridge detail was developed or observed on all specimens within the exhibit. |
| SRL:   | No latent prints were developed or observed.  |
| Example:                                       | No latent prints were developed.  |

| No Latent Prints Suitable for Source Identification |   |
|---|---|
| Criteria:   | The exhibit contains specimens that have latent print detail developed or observed that contain no latent prints suitable for identification. In addition, the exhibit may also contain specimens that have no latent print detail developed or observed. |
| SRL:  | No latent prints suitable for identification were developed or observed.  |
| Example:  | No latent prints suitable for identification were developed.  |

| Latent Prints Suitable for Source Identification |   |
|--|---|
| Criteria:  | Latent prints suitable for identification were developed or observed on the specimens that were examined. |
| SRL:   | suitable for identification was (developed or observed) on (# and indicate specific specimen(s)).         |
| Example:   | Latent prints suitable for identification were developed on one clear plastic baggie.                     |

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

| Remaining Specimens – Additional Reporting Statement |   |
|--|---|
| Criteria:  | Latent prints suitable for identification were not developed on the remaining specimens in the exhibit that was examined. |
| SRL:   | No latent prints suitable for identification were developed on the remaining specimen(s).                                 |
| Example:   | No latent prints suitable for identification were developed on the remaining specimens.                                   |

| Visual Examination Only |  |
|-------------------------|--|
| Criteria:               | When the FS only conducts a visual examination on the specimen or entire exhibit.  |
| SRL:                    | A visual examination for latent prints was conducted and no latent prints were observed on (specimen(s) or exhibit #). The (specimen(s) or exhibit #) is not suitable for further latent print processing. |
| Example:                | A visual examination for latent prints was conducted and no latent prints were observed on the dryer sheets. The dryer sheets are not suitable for further latent print processing.                        |

| Not Suitable for Latent Print Examination |  |
|---|--|
| Criteria:                                 | When the specimen is not suitable for examination.                           |
| SRL:                                      | The (specimen(s) or exhibit #) is not suitable for latent print examination. |
| Example:                                  | The rubber bands are not suitable for latent print examination.              |

| Contaminated Material |  |
|-----------------------|--|
| Criteria:             | When the FS conducts a visual examination only of the (specimen or exhibit #) and due to the presence of contaminants is unable to conduct further latent print processing.  |
| SRL:                  | A visual examination for latent prints was conducted and no latent prints suitable for identification were observed on (specimen(s) or exhibit #). The presence of contaminant material on (specimen(s) or exhibit #) precluded any further latent print processing. |
| Example:              | A visual examination for latent prints was conducted and no latent prints suitable for identification were observed on the kilo packages. The presence of contaminant material on the kilo packages precluded any further latent print processing.                   |
| SRL:                  | A visual examination for latent prints was conducted and no latent prints were   |

Latent Print Examination Manual APPENDIX 2A – Standard Reporting Language

Revision: 2

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

| Contaminated Material |  |
|-----------------------|--|
|                       | observed on (specimen(s) or exhibit#). The presence of contaminant material on (specimen(s) or exhibit #) precluded any further latent print processing.   |
| Example:              | A visual examination for latent prints was conducted and no latent prints were observed on the kilo packages. The presence of contaminant material on the kilo packages precluded any further latent print processing. |

| Deferred Examination – Processing Examination – Additional Reporting Statement |   |
|--|---|
| Criteria:  | When the FS is in the processing examination stage and has received documented concurrence from either case agent or the SFS to discontinue processing the exhibit. |
| SRL:   | Further processing of (specimen(s) or exhibit #) was deferred with the approval of (Title and name).  |
| Example:   | Further processing of exhibit 1 was deferred with the approval of SA Smith.   |

# **Comparison Examination Results**

| Comparisons Performed (Latent Prints) |   |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| Criteria:                             | Identifiable latent prints were compared to a set of known prints.                          |
| SRL:                                  | Thewas compared to the known (finger/palm) print card(s) of (or) the above listed subjects. |
| Multiple<br>Subjects<br>Example:      | The latent prints were compared to the known palm print cards of the above listed subjects. |
| One<br>Subject<br>Example:            | The latent print was compared to the known fingerprint card of Thomas Jones.                |

| Comparison Performed (Known Prints) |   |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| Criteria:                           | Known print card compared to a known print card.  |
| SRL:                                | The known (finger/palm) print card of was compared to the known (finger/palm) print card of       |
| Example:                            | The known fingerprint card of Joe Smith was compared to the known fingerprint card of John Smith. |

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

| Source Identification Made (Latent Prints): |   |
|---|---|
| Criteria:                                   | The latent print and the known print came from the same source.   |
| SRL:  | (Indicate #) latent print(s) from (specific specimen(s)) was identified to the known (finger/palm) print card of, (UCN/SID (if known)). |
| Example:                                    | Five latent prints from two clear plastic bags were identified to the known fingerprint card of Thomas Jones, UCN123456789.             |

| Source Identification Made (Known Prints) |   |
|---|---|
| Criteria:                                 | The known print(s) came from the same source.   |
| SRL:                                      | The known (finger/palm) print(s) of, (UCN/SID/DOB (if known)) was identified to the known (finger/palm) print card of, (UCN/SID/DOB (if known). |
| Example:                                  | The known fingerprints of Thomas Jones, DOB 12/12/1966 was identified to the known fingerprint card of Thomas J. Jones, UCN 123456789.          |

| Remaining Latent Prints – Additional Reporting Statement |  |
|--|--|
| Criteria:  | Remaining latent print(s) was compared and was excluded with the same individual(s).                 |
| SRL:   | The remaining latent (finger/palm) print(s) was excluded to the known (finger/palm) print card(s) of |
| Example:   | The remaining latent fingerprint was excluded to the known fingerprint card of Thomas Jones.         |

| Deferred Examination – Remaining Latent Prints – Additional Reporting Statement |  |
|---|--|
| Criteria:   | A latent print(s) was identified to a subject(s) in the exhibit and the FS has received documented concurrence from either the case agent or the SFS to discontinue any further comparison(s) of unidentified latent prints.                       |
| SRL:  | Further comparison of the unidentified latent (finger/palm) prints with the known (finger/palm) card(s) of was deferred with the approval of Additional comparisons to the unidentified latent print(s) in this exhibit will be made upon request. |
| Example:  | Further comparison of the unidentified latent fingerprints with the known fingerprint card of Thomas Jones was deferred with the approval of SA John Smith. Additional comparisons to  |

Latent Print Examination Manual APPENDIX 2A – Standard Reporting Language

Revision: 2

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

# Deferred Examination – Remaining Latent Prints – Additional Reporting Statement the unidentified latent print(s) in this exhibit will be made upon request.

| Source Exclusion (Latent Prints) |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Criteria:                        | The latent prints(s) and the known print(s) did not come from the same source.  |
| SRL:                             | The latent (fingerprint/palm) print(s) was excluded from the known (finger/palm) print card(s) of (or) the above listed subjects. |
| One<br>Subject<br>Example:       | The latent fingerprint was excluded from the known fingerprint card of Thomas Jones.  |
| Multiple<br>Subjects<br>Example: | The latent palm print was excluded from the known fingerprint card of Thomas Jones.   |

| Source Exclusion (Known Prints) |   |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Criteria:                       | The known print(s) did not come from the same source.   |
| SRL:                            | The known (finger/palm) print card(s) of, (UCN/SID/DOB (if known)) was excluded from the known (finger/palm) print card of, (UCN/SID/DOB (if known)). |
| Example:                        | The known fingerprint card of Thomas Jones, DOB 12/12/1966 was excluded from the known fingerprint card of Thomas J. Jones, UCN 123456789.            |

| Deferred Comparison – Additional Reporting Statement |  |
|--|--|
| Criteria:  | The latent print(s) have not been identified to the subject(s) in the exhibit. The FS has received documented concurrence from either the case agent or the SFS to discontinue any further comparisons.  |
| SRL:   | Further comparison of the unidentified latent (finger/palm) prints with the known (finger/palm) print card(s) of was deferred with the approval of Additional comparisons to the unidentified latent (finger/palm) print(s) in this exhibit will be made upon request.             |
| Example:   | Further comparison of the unidentified latent fingerprints with the known fingerprint cards of Thomas Jones and Edith Jones were deferred with the approval of SA Smith. Additional comparisons to the unidentified latent fingerprints in this exhibit will be made upon request. |

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

| Inconclusive Comparison – Lack of Features (Latent Print) |  |
|---|--|
| Criteria:   | One or more latent prints could not be identified to, or excluded from the submitted subject known prints, due to the lack of features (orientation, location, quality of detail, and/or missing key information). |
| SRL:  | Due to the lack of features in (#) latent (finger/palm) print(s) from (specimen(s)), the comparison results were inconclusive.   |
| Example:  | Due to the lack of features in one latent fingerprint from one plastic bag, the comparison results were inconclusive.  |

| Inconclusive Comparison - Incomplete (Known Prints) |  |
|---|--|
| Criteria:   | One or more latent prints could not be identified to, or excluded from the submitted known prints because the area needed for comparison isn't available (due to <b>incompleteness</b> ) and/or because the known print is unclear (due to lack of <b>clarity</b> ). |
| SRL:  | (Due to) of the known (finger/palm) print card(s) of, the results were inconclusive.   |
| Example:  | Due to the lack of clarity of the known fingerprint card of Thomas Jones, the results were inconclusive.   |

| Known Fingerprints Standards or Subject Data Required – Additional Reporting Statement |  |
|--|--|
| Criteria:  | Known print card(s) or subject data is needed to conduct a comparison of the unidentified latent prints.                           |
| SRL:   | Known fingerprint cards(s) or an (FBI UCN, SID) of ( <u>name or above subjects</u> ) is needed to complete the comparison request. |
| Example:   | An FBI UCN of Thomas Jones is needed to complete the comparison request.   |

| Known Palm Print Standards – Additional Reporting Statement |   |
|---|---|
| Criteria:   | When the FS has attempted to obtain a set of palm print cards from the FBI NGI database and receives a negative result.   |
| SRL:  | After requesting known palm prints cards from the FBI NGI database and receiving a negative result, known palm print cards of are needed to conduct a comparison. |

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

| Known Palm Print Standards – Additional Reporting Statement |   |
|---|---|
| Example:  | After requesting known palm print cards from the FBI NGI database and receiving a negative result, known palm print cards of Thomas Jones are needed to conduct a comparison. |

# **Automated Fingerprint Identification Results**

| No AFIS Suitable Latent Prints |  |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Criteria:                      | The exhibit contains unidentified latent print(s). However, the unidentified latent print(s) is not suitable for AFIS processing.          |
| SRL:                           | An AFIS evaluation of the unidentified latent (finger/palm) print(s) was conducted and no latent prints were suitable for AFIS processing. |
| Example:                       | An AFIS evaluation of the unidentified latent fingerprints was conducted and no latent prints were suitable for AFIS processing.           |

| AFIS Suitable Latent Prints |  |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Criteria:                   | The exhibit contains unidentified latent print(s) that is suitable for AFIS processing.  |
| SRL:                        | An AFIS evaluation of the unidentified latent (finger/palm) print(s) revealed that is suitable for AFIS processing.            |
| Example:                    | An AFIS evaluation of the unidentified latent fingerprints revealed that three latent prints are suitable for AFIS processing. |

| Notification of AFIS Suitable Latent Prints |   |
|---|---|
| Criteria:                                   | The exhibit contains an unidentified latent print(s) that is suitable for AFIS processing. The FS chooses to notify the agency about the presence of AFIS suitable latent print(s) before searching them in AFIS. |
| SRL:  | An AFIS evaluation of unidentified latent (finger/palm) print(s) revealed is suitable for AFIS processing. Further AFIS examination will be performed upon request.   |
| Example:                                    | An AFIS evaluation of the unidentified latent fingerprints revealed three latent prints are suitable for AFIS processing. Further AFIS examination will be performed upon request.                                |

74

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

| Eliminations Prints Requested |   |
|-------------------------------|---|
| Criteria:                     | The exhibit contains unidentified latent prints that are suitable for AFIS processing. Circumstances in the exhibit indicate that eliminations prints need to be submitted before AFIS processing is performed. |
| SRL:                          | An AFIS search of the unidentified (finger/palm) print(s) will not be conducted until eliminationprints of are submitted.   |
| Example:                      | An AFIS search of the unidentified latent prints will not be conducted until elimination fingerprints of Thomas Jones are submitted.  |

| Latent Prints and Known Prints – No Source Identification Made |  |
|--|--|
| Criteria:  | An AFIS search was conducted of the unidentified (latent prints or known prints) and no identification was made.                                     |
| Latent<br>SRL:   | An AFIS search of the unidentified (latent finger/palm or known) print(s) was conducted in the (name of) database, and no identification was made.   |
| Example:   | An AFIS search of the unidentified latent print was conducted in the FBI NGI database, and no identification was made.                               |
| Known<br>SRL:  | An AFIS search of the known (finger/palm) print(s) of (name – if available) was conducted in the (name of) database, and no identification was made. |
| Example:   | An AFIS search of the known thumb print of Thomas Jones was conducted in the FBI NGI database, and no identification was made.                       |

| Latent Prints and Known Prints – Source Identification Made |  |
|---|--|
| Criteria:   | An AFIS search was conducted and a latent print(s) or known print(s) was identified.   |
| Latent<br>SRL:  | An AFIS search of (#) latent (finger/palm) print(s) from (specimen(s)) was conducted in the (name of) databaselatent print(s) from the was identified to a known (finger/palm) print card of, (UCN/SID).               |
| Example:  | An AFIS search of two latent finger prints from the plastic bag was conducted in the FBI NGI database. One latent print from the plastic bag was identified to a known fingerprint card of Thomas Jones, UCN123456789. |
| Known<br>SRL:   | An AFIS search was conducted with the known (finger/palm) (print/print card) of and was identified to a known (finger/palm) print card of (UCN/SID).   |
| Example:  | An AFIS search was conducted with the known thumbprint of Thomas Johns and was   |

75

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

| Latent Prints and Known Prints – Source Identification Made |   |
|---|---|
|   | identified to a known fingerprint card of Thomas Jones, UCN123456789. |

| Remaining Latent Prints – Additional Reporting Statement |   |
|--|---|
| Criteria:  | No identification was made with the remaining AFIS latent print(s) searched.        |
| SRL:   | No identification was made with the remaining (#) latent print(s) searched in AFIS. |
| Example:   | No identification was made with the remaining two latent prints searched in AFIS.   |

| Deferred AFIS Searches – Additional Reporting Statement |  |
|---|--|
| Criteria:   | Latent prints have been searched in an AFIS database and have not been identified. The FS has received documented concurrence from either the case agent or the SFS to discontinue AFIS searches.          |
| SRL:  | Further AFIS searches of the unidentified latent print(s) was deferred with the approval of Additional AFIS searches of the unidentified latent print(s) in this exhibit will be made upon request.        |
| Example:  | Further AFIS searches of the unidentified latent prints were deferred with the approval of SA Smith. Additional AFIS searches of the unidentified latent prints in this exhibit will be made upon request. |

| Registered in Unsolved Latent File (Optional) |  |
|---|--|
| Criteria:                                     | The unidentified latent print(s) searched in AFIS was registered in the AFIS unsolved latent print file.         |
| SRL:  | The unidentified latent (finger/palm) print(s) was registered in the (name) database unsolved latent print file. |
| Example:                                      | The unidentified latent fingerprint was registered in the FBI NGI database unsolved latent print file.           |

| Post AFIS Identification |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Criteria:                | Unidentified AFIS suitable latent print(s) was registered in an unsolved AFIS latent print file. At least one of the registered latent prints was identified as the result of a comparison to a known finger or palm print card. |
| SRL:                     | A subsequent response of the registered latent print was received from the (name)  |

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

| Post AFIS Identification |   |
|--------------------------|---|
|                          | unsolved latent print file. The latent print was identified to the known (finger or palm) print card(s) of, (UCN/SID).  |
| Example:                 | A subsequent response of the registered latent print was received from the FBI NGI unsolved latent print file. A latent print was identified to the known fingerprint card of Thomas Jones, UCN123456789. |

## **Additional Latent Print Results or Reports**

| Field Investigations Results |  |
|------------------------------|--|
| Criteria:                    | Latent print lift card(s) was made and photographs were taken at a field investigation and released to the SA, TFO, or DI.   |
| SRL:                         | On (date), Fingerprint Specialist (name) responded to (location-address) at the request of (Title and name) to process miscellaneous specimens at/in afor latent prints. Photographs were taken of the specimens examined, as well as the scene. (Number) latent print lift card(s) were made and released to (Title and name) at the scene.                           |
| Example:                     | On October 1, 2013, Fingerprint Specialist Brown responded to 111 Fifth St., Arlington, VA at the request of SA Smith to process miscellaneous specimens at a clandestine laboratory for latent print processing. Photographs were taken of the specimens examined, as well as the scene. Ten latent print lift cards were made and released to SA Smith at the scene. |

| Supplemental Reports |  |
|----------------------|--|
| Criteria:            | When additional information becomes available, a supplemental LPER will be generated to reflect the additional information.  |
| (LIMS)<br>LPER:      | <ol> <li>A Supplemental Report is selected for the type of Examination Requested.</li> <li>The Examination Results and Conclusions section will begin with the following two statements:</li> </ol>  |
| SRL:                 | <ol> <li>Supplemental report to reflect XXX by (Title and name). (XXX will be replaced with additional request.)</li> <li>Refer to the original Latent Print Examination Report dated mm/dd/yyyy.         NOTE: The date referenced will be the date the original report was approved.     </li> </ol> |
| Example:             | Supplemental report to reflect additional comparison request made by SA Smith.  Refer to the original Latent Print Examination Report dated 01/31/2013.  |

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

| Amended Reports |   |
|-----------------|---|
| Criteria:       | When corrections are required on the original report, an amended LPER will be generated to reflect the corrected information. (See LOM Chapter 73)  |
| (LIMS)<br>LPER: | <ol> <li>An Amended Report is selected for the type of Examination Requested.</li> <li>The Examination Results and Conclusions section will begin with the following two statements:</li> </ol>   |
| SRL:            | <ol> <li>Amended report to reflect XXX. (XXX will be replaced with the corrected information).</li> <li>Refer to the original report Latent Print Examination Report dated mm/dd/yyyy.</li> <li>NOTE: The date referenced will be the date the original report was approved.</li> </ol> |
| Example:        | Amended report to reflect the change of the spelling of submitted subject's name.  Refer to original Latent Print Examination Report dated 01/31/2013.  |

| Consensus Conclusion Statements |   |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Criteria:                       | When panel has formulated an opinion to resolve a technical disagreement  |
| (LIMS)<br>LPER:                 | <ol> <li>Comparison is selected for the type of Examination Requested.</li> <li>The Examination Results and Conclusions section will begin with the following two statements:</li> </ol>  |
| SRL:                            | <ol> <li>A panel of DEA Fingerprint Specialists independently reviewed the latent print(s) and formulated a consensus of opinion to resolve a difference of technical opinion between two Fingerprint Specialists initially involved in the examination.</li> <li>The latent print(s) from (indicate specific specimen(s)) was/was excluded from</li> </ol> |
|                                 | the known (finger/palm) prints ofas a result of a consensus panel process.  Or:   |
|                                 | Or:   |
|                                 | <ol><li>An inconclusive decision with the latent print(s) from (indicate specific<br/>specimen(s)) was effected as a result of a consensus panel process.</li></ol>   |
| Example:                        | A panel of DEA Fingerprint Specialists independently reviewed the latent print(s) and formulated a consensus of opinion to resolve a difference of technical opinion between two Fingerprint Specialists initially involved in the examination.   |
|                                 | The latent print from the clear plastic bag was excluded from the known fingerprints of Thomas Jones as a result of a consensus panel process.  |

Issue Date: XXX, 2018 Effective Date: XXX, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

### APPENDIX 2B - ANALYSIS OF FRICTION RIDGE DETAIL

### **First Level Detail**

- First level detail of latent print features is the general overall direction of ridge flow in the print.
- First level detail is not limited to a defined classification pattern.
- First level detail can be used to determine anatomical source. (e.g., fingers, palms, and feet)
- First level detail cannot be used alone to identify.
- First level detail can be used to exclude under certain circumstances.

#### **Second Level Detail**

- Second level detail is the path of a specific ridge.
- The actual ridge path includes:
  - The starting position of the ridge
  - The path the ridge takes
  - o The length of the ridge path
  - Where the ridge path stops
- Second level detail is much more than the specific location of where a ridge terminates at a ridge ending or bifurcation, also known as friction-ridge characteristics (minutiae).
- Sequences and configurations with other ridge paths.
- The ridge path and its length with terminations are unique.
- The sequences and configurations of a series of ridge paths are also unique.
- Second-level details in a print cannot exist without first level details.
- The general direction of ridge flow will exist for a specific ridge path to occur.
- Second level detail is used in conjunction with first level detail to identify.
- Second level detail is used in conjunction with level one detail to exclude.

Issue Date: XXX, 2018
Effective Date: XXX, 2018

Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

#### Third Level Detail

- Third level details are the shapes of the ridge structures.
- Third level detail encompasses the morphology (edges, textures, and pore positions) of the ridge.
  - Other specific friction skin morphology includes secondary creases, ridge breaks, scars, incipient ridges, and other imperfections.
- Third level details are unique in their shapes, sequences, and configurations.
- The clarity of the print might limit an examiner's ability to perceive the morphology, sequences, and configurations of third level detail.
- The general direction of ridge flow and a specific ridge path will exist for morphology or pore positions of a ridge to be visibly present as third level detail in a print.
- Third level detail is used in conjunction with level one and level two detail to identify.
- Third level detail is used in conjunction with level one and level two detail to exclude.

#### Other Features

- May be used in conjunction with latent print details to identify or exclude.
- May include creases, scars, warts, paper cuts, and blisters for example.
- May be permanent or temporary.
- May contain first level detail, second level detail, and third level detail.

#### **Complex Latent Prints**

- A number of factors may be involved in the analysis of a complex latent print (CLP), these factors may include:
  - Superimposed latent prints (e.g., double taps)
  - Deposition pressure distortion
  - Slippage
  - o Non-contiguous ridge detail
  - Substrate distortion
  - Matrix distortion
  - Development medium (incomplete ridge development)

Latent Print Examination Manual APPENDIX 2B – Analysis of Friction Ridge Detail

Revision: 2

Issue Date: XXX, 2018 Effective Date: XXX, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

- o Indistinct minutiae
- o Tonal reversal

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

### APPENDIX 2C - DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY AFIS GUIDELINES

#### The FS:

- Captures the latent or known print at a minimum resolution of 500 ppi (1000 ppi is recommended), calibrated for 1:1, and saved in a "TIF" file format.
- Includes a scale in the image.
- Fills out requested DHS Latent Case Submittal form or DHS Field Request (known prints search) form. (See SFDCC)
- Submits DHS Latent Case Submittal form and the digital image(s) (attachment) to an electronic mail message to: REDACTED
- Uses the unique identifier of the exhibit as the reference number in the subject line.
- Considers email file size limitations when attaching multiple images to a message.
- Provides the following statement in the narrative portion of the message:

"The attached image is being submitted to the Department of Homeland Security for search by the Biometric Support Center, in connection with an official investigation of a criminal matter by the Drug Enforcement Administration.

The image was captured at a minimum resolution of 500 ppi, calibrated for 1:1, and saved in a "TIF" format. Please respond via electronic mail with the results of your search to: Fingerprint Specialist (name) at: REDACTED.

Your assistance in this matter is appreciated. FS (name) can be reached at (phone number), if you have any questions regarding this request."

82

Latent Print Examination Manual APPENDIX 2D – Definition of Latent Print Conclusions

Revision: 2

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

### APPENDIX 2D – DEFINITION OF LATENT PRINT CONCLUSIONS

### **Source Identification**

'Source identification' is an examiner's conclusion that two friction ridge skin impressions originated from the same source. This conclusion is an examiner's decision that the observed friction ridge skin features are in sufficient correspondence such that the examiner would not expect to see the same arrangement of features repeated in an impression that came from a different source and insufficient friction ridge skin features in disagreement to conclude that the impressions came from different sources.

The basis for a 'source identification' conclusion is an examiner' s decision that the observed corresponding friction ridge skin features provide extremely strong support for the proposition that the two impressions came from the same source and extremely weak support for the proposition that the two impressions came from different sources.

A source identification is a statement of an examiner's belief (an inductive inference) that the probability that the two impressions were made by different sources is so small that it is negligible. A source identification is not based upon a statistically-derived or verified measurement or comparison of all friction ridge skin impression features in the world's population.

#### Inconclusive

'Inconclusive' is an examiner's conclusion that there is insufficient quantity and clarity of corresponding friction ridge skin features between two impressions such that the examiner is unable to identify or exclude the two impressions as originating from the same source. The basis for an 'inconclusive' conclusion is an examiner's decision that a source identification or source exclusion cannot be made due to insufficient information in either of the two impressions examined.

### **Source Exclusion**

'Source exclusion' is an examiner's conclusion that two friction ridge skin impressions did not originate from the same source. The basis for a 'source exclusion' is an examiner's decision that there are sufficient friction ridge skin features in disagreement to conclude that the two impressions came from different sources.

Latent Print Examination Manual LPEM Document History

Revision: 2

Issue Date: July 16, 2018 Effective Date: July 16, 2018 Approved By: Nelson A. Santos

## **END OF DOCUMENT**

[This page is intentionally blank.]